

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



July 2014 No 779

Wayward goat lured down from roof of house by Port Augusta police

Posted Tue 10 Jun 2014, 12:52pm AEST



PHOTO: No kidding: Sandra the goat gets a better view of Port Augusta. SA Police

MAP: Port Augusta 5700

Police say it took some unusual 'ne-goat-iations' to deal with a call-out at Port Augusta in South Australia.

A patrol was called at the weekend to deal with a goat named Sandra which had managed to climb onto the roof of a house in Crichton Drive. Police say there was

no time for kidding around as they tried a couple of different varieties of grass to entice the goat from her rooftop view. Officers used a makeshift ramp and a lasso made from clothes line to secure the wayward goat and return the animal to the owner's yard.

http://www.abc.net.au/news/2014-06-10/goat-police-lure-wayward-roof/5512514?WT.mc_id=newsmail

Did Jimmy Savile have sex with corpses?

Jimmy Savile claimed to have performed sex acts on dead bodies, investigators say
Health investigators say late BBC TV presenter Jimmy Savile might have sexually abused dead bodies at a hospital where he worked as a volunteer.

June 27, 2014 – 1:44PM

London: Jimmy Savile abused dead bodies and claimed to have worn rings made from their glass eyes, according to a series of hospital reports. Savile, one of the biggest TV stars in Britain in the 1970s and 1980s, was responsible for a litany of rape and sexual abuse in National Health Service institutions over at least five decades until 2009, according to 28 official reports covering the institutions involved. His victims included staff and patients, toddlers and pensioners, as he visited as a celebrity fundraiser and volunteer.

He "cherry-picked" voluntary portering jobs that would bring him into contact "with the young and vulnerable".

In one particularly distressing case at a hospital in Leeds, central England, he fondled the breasts of a teenage girl through her hospital gown as she lay prostrate on a trolley following a lengthy medical procedure.

Among the most disturbing findings in the report, which was released on Thursday, were "macabre accounts" of claims that the presenter, who died in 2011, performed sex acts on dead

bodies in the mortuary at Leeds General Infirmary, and at least one other hospital.

Sue Proctor, who led the investigation into Savile's abuse at Leeds General, said Savile also claimed that large rings he wore were "made from the glass eyes of dead bodies at the mortuary".

One witness said Savile claimed he would "wheel the dead bodies around at night".



Jimmy Savile in 2008. Photo: AP

Investigators said they could not confirm his claims but concluded that his interest in the mortuary was "not within accepted boundaries".

It also emerged that staff at a number of hospitals had been told of incidents but failed to pass on complaints to senior managers.

Staff told investigators the decisions not to make formal complaints reflected the "culture at the time" and the way the entertainer had masked his abuse with "pretend humour" that misled onlookers into thinking "nothing was amiss".

British Health Secretary Jeremy Hunt apologised to the victims of "sickening and prolific" abuse by the disgraced, late BBC television presenter and disc jockey in hospitals and hospices. He said they were "let down badly" by the government and health service.

Savile repeatedly exploited the "trust of a nation" for his own "vile purposes", Mr Hunt said.

The inquiry began with his first contact with the hospital in 1960 and included testimonies from 60 people, 33 of them patients. Victims ranged in age from five to 75. Three incidents were rapes. Nine victims reported incidents to staff but they were not passed to superiors.

Savile was a hugely popular figure during his career on radio and TV and was knighted in 1990 for his extensive fundraising for charity, but after his death in 2011 at the age of 84, his dark side was exposed.

A police investigation last year concluded that he was a prolific paedophile and sex offender who used his celebrity status to attack victims on BBC premises, schools and hospitals.

Claims that some of the abuse happened in hospital settings triggered the separate NHS investigations published on Thursday.

The inquiries, which also included a review of Savile's links to Broadmoor high-security psychiatric hospital, were overseen by Kate Lampard, the former chairman of the Financial Ombudsman Service. At Broadmoor investigators found "clear failings" in security as Savile had keys allowing unrestricted access to ward areas. Savile sexually abused at least five individuals there.

That report also said that an ex-patient reported passing on complaints to Alan Franey, then the general manager at Broadmoor and a friend of Savile's, from three female patients in the 1990s, although there was no record of the complaint and Mr Franey could not recall handling it.

The reports add to disclosures of Savile's wider abuse from Scotland Yard's Operation Yewtree, which began in 2012 after a documentary exposed his paedophilia. Police found that Savile had 450 victims. A number of the cases in Thursday's reports were also investigated by police.

Mr Hunt said: "I want to apologise on behalf of the government and the NHS to all the victims who were abused by Savile in NHS-run institutions. We let them down badly and however long ago it may have been, many of them are still reliving the pain they went through."

He said he "hasn't ruled out anything" after MPs called for politicians and others to be "called to book" for anything they did that may have aided the abuse.

NHS chiefs described the findings as "truly awful", while both current chief executives of Leeds General and Broadmoor apologised to victims.

Telegraph, London, AFP, PA

<http://www.smh.com.au/world/jimmy-savile-claimed-to-have-performed-sex-acts-on-dead-bodies-investigators-say-20140626-zsnfj.html>

Leaders back Bendigo's Muslims

ADAM KAMIEN, JUNE 27, 2014

JEWISH communal leaders have shown their solidarity with Bendigo's Muslim community, which last week became the target of a racist campaign over the proposed erection of a mosque in the rural Victorian town.

The Bendigo council last week approved the city's first mosque, sparking an outpouring of anti-Islam sentiment. The Q Society, which brought Dutch anti-immigration politician Geert Wilders to Australia last year, has thrown its weight behind the protests, circulating anti-Islam pamphlets and holding meetings to "inform" residents about the evils of Islam.

The Facebook page "Stop the Mosque in Bendigo" – which has more than 8000 likes – is brimming with anti-Islam taunts labelling Australian Muslims "Jihadists" and calling for their annihilation.

Australia/Israel & Jewish Affairs Council (AIJAC) executive director Colin Rubenstein said the Jewish community was duty bound to speak out.

"No religious group in our overwhelmingly tolerant, harmonious multicultural Australia should be subjected to discrimination, and AIJAC unequivocally supports the right of Australia's Muslim communities to live in any locale and gather to pray in their communities in peace," he concluded.

Dvir Abramovich, chairman of the B'nai B'rith Anti-Defamation Commission, also condemned the "religious bigotry" faced by Bendigo's Muslims, stressing that "such discrimination is wrong and fundamentally inconsistent with the great Australian tradition of respect for all."


Echoing the sentiment, Nina Bassat of the Jewish Community Council of Victoria said, "The outstanding feature of Australian life is its ability to forge one society from many, and part of that is the freedom to practise one's religion."

<http://www.jewishnews.net.au/leaders-back-bendigoes-muslims/35864>



COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES



THE SENATE

PROOF

ADJOURNMENT

Racial Discrimination Act

SPEECH

Tuesday, 17 June 2014

BY AUTHORITY OF THE SENATE

SPEECH

Date Tuesday, 17 June 2014

Page 74

Questioner

Speaker Milne, Sen Christine

Source Senate

Proof Yes

Responder

Question No.

Senator MILNE (Tasmania—Leader of the Australian Greens) (19:59): Australia is a tolerant, multicultural nation. We value free speech and democracy and we celebrate the fact that we have built a society where people can live without fear of being verbally or physically abused because of their ethnicity, religion or cultural traditions. Section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act makes it unlawful to offend, insult, humiliate or intimidate a person or group because of their race, colour or national or ethnic origin. This legal measure enables people subject to racial discrimination to pursue those who harass them. Removing or weakening section 18C will mean that targets of racial vilification will have no option but to appeal to pre-existing criminal offences which serve quite a different purpose and which have a much higher standard of proof. Until now, 18C has served us well by giving the public robust instruments to fight insults, humiliation and racial vilification. Weakening 18C will signal to some that they are now safe to engage in racist attacks against vulnerable minorities. The Greens have zero tolerance for racism, and we stand alongside all Australian minority communities and condemn racism completely.

The importance of preserving 18C is clear but made even clearer by my own experience of Fredrick Toben and his persistent and ongoing vilification of the Jewish community. Mr Toben is an Australian Holocaust denier who insists that the Holocaust is a lie. He maintains that it is a deception that millions of people were murdered in Nazi gas chambers. For making these false assertions he was imprisoned in Germany for defaming the dead, and attempts have been made since to have him deported to Germany to face similar charges. Toben routinely insults and tries to humiliate the Australian Jewish community. Not only are his websites vehicles for spreading historical falsehoods and extreme anti-Semitic sentiment but he and his associates have also mailed offensive materials to members of the Jewish community. He has attended talks given by Jewish survivors of the Holocaust with the intent of creating a disruption. He constantly demeans and disparages Holocaust survivors by calling them 'unreliable witnesses' or says they are 'lying'.

In 2013 I gave a comment to *The Australian* newspaper that Toben is a Holocaust denier and that in so doing he fabricates history and is an anti-Semite. In spite of calling the Holocaust a lie, in spite his being jailed

in Germany for insulting the dead and in spite of his anti-Semitic writing on his websites, Toben took these comments to be defamatory and, as a result, I am now being sued for defamation. Even a cursory examination of Toben's prolific writings and numerous interviews shows that these observations are true. He repeatedly refers to the Holocaust as a 'myth', a 'fiction' and a 'physical impossibility'. In a letter to Jewish academic Deborah Lipstadt he writes:

I refuse to believe, without physical proof, in the systematic extermination of six million European Jews in homicidal gas chambers.

The Holocaust believers have never proven their case ...

Not only does he repeat a falsified version of history, saying the Holocaust 'did not happen', 'there were no gas chambers', and the existence of the Holocaust is simply 'war time propaganda'; he says it is just 'prison gossip' concocted by concentration camp prisoners. He entertains the notion that the Holocaust was invented for Jewish financial gain. He writes:

All anyone needs to do is draw the Nazi card and the coffers open and the scrum is on to see who can get a snoutful out of the Holocaust trough. There is, indeed, no business like Shoah business!

He has also said:

The Jew fury knew no bounds and succeeded in smearing Germans with the Holocaust-Shoah lies, something that admirably suited the Anglo-American-Zionist financial internationalists.

He has compared notable Australian Jewish figures to the Jewish stereotype of Shylock, saying that they are after 'their pound of flesh'. He routinely refers to so-called Jewish supremacism and maintains that the Jewish authorities are behind horrific events like 9/11.

In 1996 Jeremy Jones of the Jewish Council of Australia challenged Toben's anti-Semitic writings in court. The judges agreed that Toben's website contained anti-Semitic material and ordered that it be removed. In defiance of this, Toben continued to produce content that was anti-Semitic. The courts ultimately found Toben guilty of contempt of court and Toben was fined and sentenced to a short stint in prison.

Toben's complete contempt for the legal system and the Australian judiciary then led him to reflect:

In my case the judges involved in my matter were not Jewish but they bent to Jewish pressure, which enables me to state they became morally and intellectually bankrupt.

As a result of all his persistent breaches of the law and litigious behaviour, Toben is now an undischarged bankrupt and, having nothing more to lose financially, with the assistance of financial backers he will continue to use the courts as a platform for his anti-Semitic views, to the great personal and financial cost of those he sues and the Jewish community he continues to vilify. He wants show trials.

It is clear that Toben's views are detestable and denigrate the Australian Jewish community. Furthermore, the existence of his denialist claims sullies the memory of all those who were brutally exterminated in the Holocaust. His views are a shameless attack on Holocaust survivors and their families. I have stood at the Dachau concentration camp. I have been to the Yad Vashem Holocaust museum in Jerusalem. It is a powerful memorial to the six million Jews who died. I have been to the Dachau memorial and the concentration camp memorials at the Pere Lachaise Cemetery in Paris—memorials of

Auschwitz and of Bergen-Belsen—and I will never stand by or allow the likes of Toben to so insult the dead or to belittle their suffering or the suffering of those who survived or those who have come after. Whilst I will continue to stand up for a peaceful two-state solution in the case of Israel and Palestine and whilst I will continue to criticise the government of Israel for its illegal occupation of Palestinian territories, I will never stand idly by and fail to condemn anti-Semitism. So I am defending the defamation charges in court not only to protect my personal reputation and that of the Australian Greens but also to make a stand on behalf of the whole Australian community in challenging Toben's abhorrent views.

But it will not be enough just to win this case against Toben. There must be adequate legal recourse to protect people from racial vilification in the future. That is why we need to retain section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act. Without it, Toben and his ilk will be let off the leash, free to vilify and insult Jewish people in the name of political debate. Toben is deeply invested in the repeal of 18C. He cannot wait for it to be removed so he can continue his assault on the Jewish community unrestrained. He has even gone so far as to call 18C the 'Toben law' because he egoistically claims that it targets Holocaust deniers in particular. He has claimed that 18C finds its origins in an Australian Jewish effort to silence dissenters.

If we take away the protections offered to us by 18C, then we expose racial, ethnic and national minorities to vilification and abuse with no effective protection against these racist predators. That is not the kind of society that wave after wave of migrants have built in Australia, and it is not the kind of society the Greens will stand by and allow Australia to become.

A Blast-From-The-Past: David Irving vs Lipstadt

11 November 1994 - David Irving confronting Lipstadt on Holocaust

This is a classic clip filmed on 11-11-94 at an Atlanta college where Deborah Lipstadt was speaking on the Holocaust. Unbeknownst to her, David Irving was in the audience and when opportunity arose he confronted her about accusations she was making regarding himself as well as evidence she claimed to have which would prove the existence of gas chambers at Auschwitz. This was back when Irving still had commendable courage to fight this diabolical network of

Judaic-run organizations - before he realized the scope of the power of this ubiquitous Leviathan he was up against. I've also included his selected (incomplete) diary entries describing their nonstop conspiracy to silence him in those early years. My belief is that they most likely eventually got to David Irving, which could explain some of his later compromising stances on the Holocaust.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nveSdtEiEk8>

This Is Not A Mosquito!! Look closely.....Incredible



Is this a mosquito? No. It's an insect spy drone for urban areas, already in production, funded by the US Government. It can be remotely controlled and is equipped with a camera and a microphone. It can land on you, and it may have the potential to take a DNA sample or leave RFID tracking nanotechnology on your skin. It can fly through an open window, or it can attach

to your clothing until you take it in your home. Given their propensity to request macro-sized drones for surveillance, one is left with little doubt that police and military may look into these gadgets next. And now you know why our government has requested the law be changed to allow drone surveillance in the United States...

Listen to The Heretics's Hour Monday

Traitors and Misfits - Albert Speer, ambitious disloyalist

Monday, June 23rd, at 8pm Central U.S. time (9 Eastern, 6 Pacific) live on [Carolyn's Blog Talk Channel](#).



Carolyn Yeager relates how Speer's personal ambition brought him ever closer to Adolf Hitler as his architect, and to become the top man of 3rd Reich armaments development. Then, practically alone among the leading National-Socialists, he turned against both after the war and the Reich were lost. The manner in which he carried out his repudiation makes for an instructive lesson. Carolyn uses the writings of Speer himself and the memoir of Hermann Giesler to reveal Speer's underlying shifting nature beneath a brilliant exterior.

Seen here, Speer enjoying the confidence of the Fuehrer at his Wolf's Lair headquarters.

See you then, Carolyn Yeager

http://www.blogtalkradio.com/carolynyeager_uncensored

FLASHBACK – 10 Years Ago

March-April-May 2004 was an exciting time ...

<http://web.archive.org/web/20050614070539/http://www.adelaideinstitute.org/index1.htm>

Adelaide Institute



Battle of the Wills

Battlefront: Defending Our World View

11Sep2001	Africa	AIDS	Auschwitz	Australia
Bali BB	China	Conferences	Conspiracy	Dissenters
Education	Eugenics	Europe	Germany	G old
History	Holocaust	H R Watch	Indonesia	Italy
Iraq War	Legal	Media Watch	Mental Ill	Middle East
Music	Newsletters	Race Card	Revisionists	Travel
USA	War Crime	Zimbabwe		



INTERNET ARCHIVE
WaybackMachine

The Internet Archive, working with [Alexa Internet](#), has created the [Wayback Machine](#).
The Wayback Machine makes it possible to surf more than 10 billion pages stored in the Internet Archive's web archive.
The Wayback Machine was unveiled on October 24th, 2001 at U.C. [Berkeley's Bancroft Library](#).
Visit the Wayback Machine by entering an URL above or clicking on specific collections below.

[Top of Page](#) / [Home Page](#)
©-free 2004 Adelaide Institute

Travel Log

25 March - 17 May 2004

[South Africa](#)

[Zimbabwe](#)

[Zimbabwe#2](#)

[European Union](#)

[Kaliningrad](#)

[Moscow](#)

[Moscow#2](#)

[Sacramento - cancellation](#)

[Sacramento - final](#)

[Chile](#)

[Chile#2](#)

[Chile#3 Dignidad](#)

[Chile#4](#)

[New Zealand](#)

[Australia](#)

93-year-old Prisoner of Peace



Rudolf Hess

Murdered on 17 August 1987 at Berlin's Spandau Prison

Worthy link: www.read-all-about-it.org

Which bird is the female?



[Top of Page](#) | [Home Page](#)

©-2004 Adelaide Institute

**Frenzy and fury as Jesus film hits SA
Cinema audiences in tears as religious leaders row over
Mel Gibson's gory epic
Sunday Times, March 28 2004**

Passion fever gripped the country this weekend as South Africa flocked to watch Mel Gibson's four-hour (sic) epic on the crucifixion of Jesus Christ.

The Passion of the Christ has sparked bitter religious debates while breaking box-office records internationally. In the US there have been calls by some Jewish groups for its producers to be prosecuted under race hate laws.

The film - which opened in the US last month - was the highest grossing film for three weeks, raking in \$300-million around the world. It is expected to become one of the most profitable films of all time, a massive pay-off for the Catholic Gibson, who gambled \$30-million of his own money to make it.

At least two people have died while watching the film, and this week a Texan man was moved to confess to murdering his girlfriend after watching it.

In South Africa, cinemas reported full houses at all screenings as churchgoers and the curious turned out to see the movie that has split religious bodies worldwide.

But Jewish leaders billed The Passion of the Christ "anti-Semitic, gory and brutal" and the head of the Methodist Church urged people not to see it.

George Strong, the manager of the Cinema Nouveau in Rosebank, Johannesburg, said the film had created a buzz the likes of which was last seen "a very long time" ago.

Majdie Jappie, an usher at Nu Metro Century City in Cape Town, said many people looked distraught after watching the film. "Some people cry when they walk out of here. Others, even if they're not physically crying, you can see they are sad."

Cinemas in Krugersdorp, Polokwane and Bloemfontein said churches were driving demand by making block bookings.

"This is the first time we see church folk filling up theatres to see a movie," said Jan Ramatlama of Bloemfontein's Ster-Kinekor. He added that cleaners had found some patrons crying long after the movie ended and hat cleaners were picking up many more used tissues than they usually do.

Kwaito star and Yizo Yizo actress Thembi Seete was one of the many who left the movie in tears. "I actually didn't see half of it because of the hatred and anger in it," she said. But Chief Rabbi Cyril Harris of the Union of Orthodox Synagogues of South Africa, who saw the film two weeks ago, said it was "very disappointing".

"As a spiritual person I'm quite keen to try to see spiritual beauty in other faiths. But this film is so physical and brutal and so covered in blood and gore it smothers all the vestiges of spirituality," he said.

"Resurrecting this kind of thing could be the spark for even more anti-Semitism. I'm very anxious that this again puts the blame on the Jews ... for killing Jesus," he said.

[Fredrick Töben comments: No doubt the good Rabbi welcomed a screening in 1994 of the Steven Spielberg film Schindler's List, which was just dripping with German hatred.]

Harris said he had asked Jewish schools to provide background to the movie so that Jewish children did not get "the wrong end of the stick".

[Fredrick Töben comments: Were Revisionists given the opportunity to give appropriate background information when students were forced world-wide to watch Schindler's List? I think not.]

Head of the Methodist Church of Southern Africa, Bishop Ivan Abrahams, shared Harris's concern, saying The Passion of the Christ "borders heresy" and that he was not advising anyone to watch it.

"We've worked so hard in this country to build religious tolerance," Abrahams said. "In my view the movie unequivocally has an anti-Semitic slant."

But President of the South African Catholic Bishops' Conference, Cardinal Wilfrid Napier, insisted the film did not portray Jews in a bad light.

"At times the extensive use of violence made you think you couldn't bear to go on but then the point of the Passion was the lengths to which Christ suffered, to show is love," said Napier.

Shembe Church spokesman Enoch Mthembu said he did not understand why Jews were disappointed at being portrayed as the people responsible for Jesus's death. "They [the Jews] were responsible for the death of Jesus Christ. Why do we have to hide what is recorded in the Bible?"

Pastor Ray McCauley of Rhema Ministries in Randburg said the film was "historically correct". "It is important at times to portray the right historical picture, rather than the meek and mild Jesus with lambs under his arms," said McCauley.

Ashwin Trikamjee, president of the South African Hindu Maha Sabha, said if The Passion of the Christ was "intended to bring more people into the Christian fold, it would fail miserably."

"The movie is basically commercialising Christianity, which is the worst thing anybody can do," he said.

Letter from Zimbabwe

Fredrick Töben - 7 April 2004

On any one day only a handful of visitors arrives at Harare's almost brand new airport. The entry visa costs US\$35.00 or about \$160.000 in local currency, the Zimbabwe dollar. If visitors do not have this foreign currency on them they need to purchase the US dollars from one of the bank agencies within the airport complex.

The exceptional courtesy extended to a visitor is not artificial but quite sincere, something that anyone can feel on a walk through the streets of Harare. Well dressed men, women and children smile and laugh as they chatter away. They even laugh off the ever increasing price rises that makes it more difficult for them to feed and clothe themselves. "What can we do?" is often heard.

The airmail stamp for a card costs \$4.200; double that and you can buy yourself a non-taxable glass of orange juice at the Monomotapa Gazebo Bar.

Europeans long ago fled the country because of this fall in living standards, something the impoverished Zimbabweans decades ago didn't know about. Finding themselves at the bare minimum of existence, it couldn't get worse for them,

only better. It is this experience that two decades later is still the driving motor of the young Zimbabwean economy. In other words, like all peoples around the world, it is outright consumerism that Zimbabweans want - they want to go shopping, never mind what the party says.

The eternal biological imperative has not really been influenced by the AIDS propaganda. If there is a fat person, one may well assume that there is also wealth. General pregnancy levels appear to be dropping off, at least in the urban areas - so the AIDS condom propaganda is working. Perhaps, but there is also the economic factor that is operating throughout the so-called western democracies: fecundity levels are restrained by economic imperatives.

[I may well recall that in Australia and elsewhere in the democracies approved of by the US and its coalition of the willing, the only freedom we have is the freedom to go shopping, but if we don't have the financial means to realize this freedom to go shopping, then we may as well willingly let ourselves be criminalised and go to prison where food and clothing is provided. But even now there is a drive to privatise

prisons so that prisoners will have to work and pay for their keep - welcome to the new century of slavery where freedom and democracy and war against terrorism rules supreme, and where dissent is 'democratically' suppressed and criminalised! Does this state of affairs not morally oblige us to question in whose interest such developments are and who is the driving force behind legislation that criminalises dissent?]

This would also in part explain the excesses and aberrations that are afflicting the Zimbabwean economy. The other day an Indian businessman who had been looking after the ZANU-PF party's investments left the country in a hurry, apparently for 'externalising' funds. Although on the security books at the airport, he was waved through. Soon I shall find out if Revisionists are on the security books in the USA.

There are two Sunday newspapers that comprehensively detail world and local events. *The Sunday Mail* newspaper costs ZW\$2.00 or 1.50 UK pounds while *The Standard* (www.thestandard.cozw; email: editor@standard.mweb.co.zw) costs ZW\$4.00 and South African R7.50.

An advertisement in the *Sunday Mail* from the Troutbeck Sun resort at Inyanga reads as follows:

*

We haven't gone fishing. Due to a PTC fault the Troutbeck Sun is proving difficult to get through to. Our lines are down but we are not out. If you would like to sample our excellent service or do a spot of fishing, please get in touch with us on the following numbers:- 011 419 833, 011 221 606, 011 609 067, 011 863 581, 011 860 459.

Please bear with us, we are working flat out to resolve this problem. Any inconvenience is sincerely regretted.

*

And still, with inflation at 600 per cent and interest rates at 300, a milk shortage exists not because there is no milk - plenty of powder milk available - but because those on low wages or the unemployed simply cannot afford to pay ZM\$1.800 for half a litre of long-life milk. A loaf of bread costs ZM\$2.000.

There is no social security net that picks up those who have failed to network for their survival. Self-preservation causes what the smug call corruption, and corruption-self preservation extends and is practised by the extremely wealthy to the abject poor.

I am always reminded of the lesson I learned from a Nigerian student of mine while teaching in Nigeria: "We may be corrupt but you in the West are also corrupt, except you in the West are at an advanced stage of corruption."

That certainly is the case. If a government official accepts an incentive in order to bolster up his miserable salary, that's bribery. If an official in private industry does the same, that's then called a reward. This explains the West's drive to privatise government agencies, so that bribery is turned into a reward! I think it is time for a re-think, where government officials are granted a respectable salary, but then need to be scrupulously honest so as to ensure the state machinery functions 'reibungslos', as the Germans say - in typical Prussian efficiency. The drive to privatise essential services is merely creating a catastrophic social profile that is a throw-back to old horrible times where poor people caught stealing food were transported to Australia. Do we want those conditions to re-appear? I think not, but we are well on the way to it. I Harare I saw a man going through the street rubbish bins, eating what edible things he found. Such sights are a common occurrence in our so-called western democracies. Why?

If we look at the obscene packages of incentives that CEOs of the major multinationals receive and trace where this actual 'profit' comes from, then we suddenly realize that what the South African President, Tabo Mbeki, did to resist the multinational pharmaceutical companies pushing their expensive

retroviral AIDS medication on to the African markets was in fact a positive measure for his nation. There is now cheaper medication coming from Pakistan that is just as effective, for example, for those who suffer from cholesterol problems.

It is rare to see a European face in thronging Harare. The latest Mercedes or BMW - that ultimate status symbol - is a common object on the crowded but not congested city roads. Now Zimbabwe is importing cheap Japanese second-hand cars to beat the financial crisis that is hitting this mineral-rich country - gold and diamonds among others - because Zimbabwe politicians will not anymore listen to what its former colonial master, Great Britain, wishes it to do.

A Foreign Ministry official advised me that there is no need for Zimbabwe to rely on these past colonial remnants of imperial thought anymore. Indonesia, Malaysia and China, among others, are looking to help Zimbabwe overcome its economic troubles that appear to have reached its peak. The hopeful adoption of the Euro and the rejection of the US dollar as a means of foreign exchange is seen as a necessity forced upon it, one may say, by the US and its coalition of the willing against Zimbabwe - Australia and Britain.

The Australian Ambassador is leaving the country in a few weeks, and he has offended the Government by openly refusing to recognise Robert Mugabe as President of Zimbabwe. The rumour is that this Australian's attitude towards Zimbabweans is very much like the attitude displayed by Rhodesians, and so he must be a former Rhodesian who fled the country at the time of Independence, and has now returned to do his bit to sabotage Zimbabwe's economy.

The fact that the Lancaster House Agreement guaranteed the civil servants' pension and white land acquisition for the Zimbabwean landless remains contentious. While the former was settled, Great Britain reneged on the land acquisition Agreement. It was not for President Mugabe to control the War Veterans' sons and daughters who were not ready passively to bend to Britain's Blair government which claimed it was not responsible for what the Major Government promised Zimbabwe at Independence time, but more on this later.

It seems odd that Britain is using its influence in the European Union to smear Zimbabwe, as it did in the recent by-election, but more of that below.

Zimbabwe is a tourist paradise, especially now in this period where foreign currency is so much desired by government and individuals. There are bargains galore for those who wish to experience the beauties of Africa - an experience that will haunt you for the rest of your life. I speak here from personal experience.

The country that was once called Rhodesia became on 18 April 1980 Zimbabwe, and this date has become its Independence Day. In other parts of the world and for the sick in mind and body, this day is also celebrated as Holocaust Memorial Day!

I would be pleased if anyone can locate for me a copy of Ballinger's book written around the 1960s, ***Call it Rhodesia***, because I loaned out my copy those many decades ago, and it was never returned.

The following articles offer more background information that contextualises the current political and economic situation. Those who wish to pull out the race card may reflect again on how, for example, the Lima Agreement has reduced the

Australian farmers' number, without there even arising a whiff of farmers' incompetence in such a massive drive reduction. The systems operating upon human endeavour can crush the most able individual. The ruthlessness with which this is done was on world show when the Anglo-American-Zionist Forces (AAZF) invaded Iraq, where the bully Boy AAZF lied to the world - and apparently got away with it. Analysts are already predicting that the US President, George Bush, will be re-elected because Saddam Hussein's WMDs will be found shortly before the election. It is thought that this needs to happen because the American establishment is not yet ready openly to entertain the idea of a Jewish President (Kerry) up front. But that's all speculation at this time - hypothesising if you will.

MDC born senile, living in denial

**African Focus - By Tafataona Mahoso, *The Sunday Times*
April 4 2004.**

Last October in Addis Ababa, Issa Shivji, Professor of Law at the University of Dar es Salaam, delivered a paper on the rebirth of African nationalism which is important for explaining recent developments in Zimbabwe in particular and Southern Africa in general. Called 'The Rise, the Fall, the Insurrection of Nationalism in Africa', Professor Shivji's analysis divides African elites between those who are "born senile", because they see their role as providing a "transmission line" for reviving imperialist hegemony; and those capable of eluding long-lasting movements for social transformation against imperialism, because of their grounding among the people and within the history of the people.

This analysis is most relevant to Zimbabwe because the elites and intellectuals who have been in the forefront of advising and defending the opposition Movement for Democratic Change (MDC) find themselves failing to explain why the trajectory of the opposition which they projected as an inevitable and unstoppable ascent now seems to be an irreversible descent into oblivion. The MDC was born already weighed down by dog-tired ideas, trying to repackage for Zimbabwe some 1920s clichés in the twenty-first century.

The line of intellectuals and writers in this quandary is quite long. Just this week we saw articles and letters by Denford Madenyika, M Chamboko, Mavis Makuni and Isaya Muriwo Sithole - all agonising over the apparently sudden transformation of the opposition MDC from sacred cow to laughing stock. The MDC looks so young physically and yet so ancient in political, intellectual and spiritual terms. Why?

In the last three months we saw many similar attempts by Brian Kagoro, Brian Raftopolous, Tukara Zhangazha, Pius Wakatama, Chido Makunike, Eddie Cross, John Makumbe and many others to explain the MDC failure. Even the President of Germany, Herr Johannes Ray, was especially imported into our Press debates here by the Zimbabwe Independent, for the same purpose. Although his visit only ended as far away from Zimbabwe as Tanzania, he nevertheless made a brave attempt to justify the imperialist project in the MDC. The majority of the writers and intellectuals fail to explain what has happened because they have always been driven by a strong desire to justify anything and everything the MDC did and does. For example, last week we were told that the MDC was undemocratic in its handling of the Zengeza constituency and election because it is forced to emulate the ruling Zanu-PF, which is supposedly the father of all undemocratic practises. This was similar to MDC president Morgan Tsvangirai's argument that he met Rhodesians, the CIA and MI6 agents under the auspices of Ari Ben-Menashe's Dickens and Madson (allegedly to plot the assassination of President Mugabe)

because he had been entrapped into doing so by the ruling Zanu-PF! Now, people in Zimbabwe are aware of how daunting a task it is to raise millions of Zimbabwe dollars, convert them into foreign currency, pay for visas and air tickets, and fly to London and Toronto several times, let alone organise meetings and live in hotels out there. Can one be entrapped into doing that several times without one's own determination to be so lured and entrapped?

All these writers, intellectuals and politicians in the opposition would do well to read Professor Shivji's analysis of the African elite in the area of global neo-liberalism and unipolarity. Mr Tsvangirai's behaviour is typical of the reactionary elite whom Shivji describes. The MDC and its intellectual and business supporters belong to what Professor Shivji describes as the reactionary wing of the African elite. Three characteristics of this elite stand out from Shivji's analysis.

First, this group celebrates its role as "the transmission line between the (African) nation and (imperial) capitalism". Its papers and broadcasts are preoccupied with what the US, the UK or EU think about Mugabe or Zengeza, for instance.

Second, at the core of this group is the decadent display of white metropolitan affectations and white metropolitan indulgences. One extreme example noticed in Zimbabwe are messages written to dead relatives by those Zimbabwean border-jumpers who are now in New Zealand, Australia, Britain, the US and the rest of Europe. These messages are published back here in Zimbabwe as expensive advertisements claiming that the emigrating writer is still very close to the dead relative. The flaunting comes from the insistence that the dead must know that the grief-stricken muzukuru, amanini, sister or brother has finally made it to Auckland, New Zealand, New York, US, Bonn, Germany, Paris, France or London, UK. The dead have to be told through newspaper displays that their heirs or survivors have inched closer to the empire since the last time they were together. The dead relative may have gone to heaven, but the superior border-jumper has achieved the nearest thing to heaven on earth by obtaining a visa to the belly of the empire.

Third, despite the British Council-sponsored slogan that this elite group is the one "leading change" into the new millennium, the fact is that this group is actually "beginning at the end" of Western Civilisation and hegemony; it is mourning the falling "standards" of Rhodesia and apartheid South Africa; it is going backwards or just standing in awe of what the West has achieved in 500 years of the most racist looting of the world.

In Shivji's words, this reactionary elite "identifies itself with (the worst) of the Western bourgeoisie. from whom it has learned its lesson. It follows the Western bourgeoisie along its path of negation and decadence without ever having emulated it in its first stages of exploration and invention".

In other words, the imperialists encourage their stooges to imitate and flaunt only their consumption habits. And, despite the repeated slogan of "change". "We need not think that it (this elite) is jumping ahead (or evading change, in the words of the British Council); it is, in act, beginning at the (dead) end. It is already senile before it has come to know the petulance, the fearlessness, or the will to succeed of youth."

This group will tell you that you will kill them once you outlaw foreign sponsorship for political activity here. This seems to be a most cruel and unfair assessment, until one looks at recent examples of the behaviour which fit Professor Shivji's analysis.

- Just before the 2002 presidential elections, MDC president Morgan Tsvangirai appeared on BBC television scolding and

urging South African President Thabo Mbeki to impose sanctions on Zimbabwe, on behalf of the British, by cutting off all credits, cutting off all petrol and diesel supplies and all electricity exports.

- Before that, the late Ms Lupi Mushayakarara also appeared on Zimbabwe television with former Rhodesian prime minister Ian Smith, claiming that the two of them had just established a dynamic and refreshing political alliance to lead Zimbabwe into the "future".

[FT comment: Ian Smith still adhered to international capitalist imperatives, insisting that overseas investors were vital to the new Zimbabwe economy.]

- In 2000 I was shocked when it became clear during a debate on Supa Mandiwanzira's 'Talking Business' that the late Kuwadzana MP, Learnmore Jongwe, supported former US Senator Jesse Helms' Bill which was later to become the US Sanctions Bill against Zimbabwe, now known as the Zimbabwe Democracy and Economic Recovery Act. What was shocking was not only Jongwe's denial, as a lawyer, that the Bill was, in fact, a sanctions Bill. What was shocking was this phenomenon of young people and young movements being "born senile" in the words of Professor Shivji. How could Learnmore Jongwe, as a brilliant young lawyer trained at the highest institution of learning in Zimbabwe, team up with an old white slave master and Cold War hawk from the southern United States of the 1950s against Jongwe's own country and people? How did Jongwe come to think that such a "programme" would succeed in Zimbabwe? What did "success" mean for him?

To demonstrate my shock, I pulled out Rhodesian foreign minister P K van der Byl's January 12, 1979, letter to the same US Senator Jesse Helms. In that letter Van der Byl appealed to the US Senate Foreign Relations Committee, which included Jesse Helms, to compel the US government to intervene in Zimbabwe the same way (the US had intervened in Vietnam) in order to preserve Rhodesia as a bastion of white supremacist civilisation. And here was Learnmore Jongwe, 21 years later, going back to the same Jesse Helms in the name of "change".

So what Professor Shivji says, in fact, happened on that 'Talking Business' programme in the year 2000. The spokesperson for the MDC, the party of "change", was actually starting from the end of Rhodesia, the end of P K van der Byl's career, going backwards to the days of slavery.

- Recently the same realisation that the MDC was "born senile" expressed itself in the form of young activists in the MDC demanding the expulsion of all white Rhodesian grandmothers and grandfathers from the party. But such a demand confuses the white persons with the senile white programme imposed by the imperial interests. The programme is born senile because it has been imposed by powers who believe they have achieved the ultimate in human progress and that salvation for the South and the East lies in imitating the white North, with the elites of the same regions serving merely as "intermediaries" and transmission lines" for conveying the fruits of that ultimate human progress.

Ironically, the MDC has been under the illusion, for five years, that the African liberation movement and African nationalism have grown senile. Twelve months ago when I participated in the ZTV programme 'US-UK Invasion of Iraq', a good number of the MDC activists called to make arguments which demonstrated their belief that they were progressive merely because they had abandoned and even criminalised African nationalism. The callers said African nationalism and nationalism everywhere had no place in a global village; that imperialism was not the enemy but only a Zanu-PF conspiracy

and invention meant to detract citizens of Zimbabwe from daily problems; that the people of Iraq were fortunate to be "assisted" by Britain and the United States to get rid of their dictator President Saddam Hussein; and finally, that if it was not for the intellectual fools like those appearing on ZTV, the people of Zimbabwe could also be so lucky as to be "assisted" by the UK and US to get rid of Zanu-PF government.

What had attracted these callers was the agreement among participants in the programme that the US-UK invasion of Iraq was going to strengthen nationalist resistance to imperialism on a global scale; that the US-UK were lying about their forces being welcomed as liberators in Iraq; and that African nationalism itself would gain from the naked exposure of the imperial powers as criminal aggressors in Iraq, simply because the reactionary African elites were going to have a hard time marketing Britain, the US and the EU as champions of human rights, democracy and good governance after the Iraq atrocity.

One year later, both the imperial powers and their intermediaries here are so frustrated with the failure of their programmes that only two days ago the United States of America stooped so low as to try to intervene in the Zengaza by-election on behalf of the MDC; and the MDC did not tell the Americans to shut up, thinking that such intervention by a 'super power' constitutes a compliment.

What makes the African elite collaborating with imperialism so senile is not only the fact that they are serving powers who feel that their time has run out; it is also the fact that it's impossible to improve on the role of the house nigger. We can see real progress in anti-imperialist or nationalist insurrections from the First Chimurenga to the Second Chimurenga; from the Second Chimurenga to the Third Chimurenga; from Haiti to Cuba and Vietnam. But the house nigger role has remained just a "transmission line" for the last 500 years. Professor Shivji, in his keynote paper, quoted Amilcar Cabral, Franz Fanon and Archie Mafeje. "Cabral makes the point that so long as imperialism is in existence, an independent African state must be a liberation movement in power, or it will not be independent. These are profound insights. First, nationalism is constituted by the struggle of the people against imperialism.

"Thus anti-imperialism defines African nationalism. Second, nationalism, as an expression of struggle continues so long as imperialism exists. Third, the National Question in Africa, whose expression is nationalism, remains unresolved as long as there is imperialist domination."

African stooge movements are born senile because they must also live in denial. Zimbabwe's capture of 70 alleged terrorists with definite links to British and US intelligence and ferried by an airplane originating in the US, is a most profound illustration of the reality of imperialism and the national question.

It has now unfolded that violent imperialist interventions were planned not just against Equatorial Guinea but also against the Democratic Republic of Congo.

*

Can someone tell Bush to shut up! **Political Editor Munyaradzi Huni**

Without any mercy the US government continues creating millions of terrorists for its panicky citizens. Without any shame, the same government continues to lecture about human rights and elections in the world. There is no sign that Mr George W Bush will come to his senses anytime soon. It's really tragic.

Just last week, in a horrific scene that looked like a well-written vampire script from Hollywood, some US nationals in

the Iraq town of Fullajah were brutally murdered, their bodies mutilated and dragged behind hooting cars with crowds chanting anti-US slogans. The bodies were later burnt beyond recognition.

This is the US war against terrorism. A war that has reduced a country that claims to be a super-power to a country of fear, a country always on alert and a country always on the run. And the most unfortunate thing is that Mr Bush sees all this like a movie that will soon come to an end.

As if the US troubles in Iraq are not enough, the US government continues to poke its long nose into the affairs of little Zimbabwe. Just last week, the US government condemned the recently held Zengeza parliamentary by-election that was won by Zanu-PF's Cde Christopher Chigumba.

"We condemn the violence, intimidation and irregularities that occurred prior to and during the March 27-28 Zengeza parliamentary by election. The election's improprieties preclude it from being deemed free and fair," said the US in a statement.

Mr Bush just had to condemn the election because the MDC had lost. His government had to be seen to be defending the horse it was backing. And MDC loss is equal to an election that is not free and fair. That's democracy, American style.

It didn't matter that the MDC leader, Mr Morgan Tsvangirai, imposed a candidate for the people of Zengeza. It didn't matter that the MDC never had any serious campaigns in the constituency. The opposition candidate, Mr James Makore, was just supposed to win.

No wonder why the Minister of State for Information and Publicity, Professor Jonathan Moyo, responded in a stinging attack saying:

"The predictable statement by the Bush government claiming that its isolated diplomats at Harare have as usual and always expected alleged all manner of irregularities prior to and during the recent parliamentary by-election in Zengeza in which the urban electorate overwhelmingly voted for Zanu-PF has served to once again expose the White House gangsters and their anti-Zimbabwean diplomats in Harare for what they are: dangerous charlatans who masquerade as democrats."

The events in Iraq, the war against terrorism and the treatment of Zimbabwe by the US raises serious questions. Is there anything the world can learn from the US? Can the US teach the world about democracy and human rights? Can Mr Bush lecture to the world about how to hold free and fair elections?

In February this year, the US released its 'Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2003', and the Information Office of the State Council of the People's Republic of China responded to the report by chronicling the human rights record of the US saying "we have to, as before, help the United States keep its human rights record".

It is reported in the article that the US is a crime-ridden society as evidenced by the 11,9 million criminal cases in 2003 that included homicides, rapes, robbery and thefts. The number of people murdered in the US was reported to have increased by about 1.1 percent during the first half of last year.

In the report it is also revealed that drug-related crimes have been on the rise, "with new characteristics involving a growing number of gangs, intensified violence and trans-national smuggling and collaboration with terrorist groups". Now this is something to worry for Mr Bush.

In a bid to fight terrorism, the US passed the Patriot Act and legal experts say this Act has many substantial provisions that

encroach upon rights and freedom of its citizens, especially the ethnic minorities.

"Under the authority of the Patriot Act, the government departments are empowered to wiretap phone calls of citizens, trace their online records, read their private mails and e-mails.

"The FBI is even allowed to keep a watch on peoples' reading habits. They check the booklists of what people borrow from libraries, so as to judge whether they have been influenced by terrorism," said the Information Office of China.

The report further says according to Amnesty International, more than 700 000 inmates in US prisons were held in high security prisons where they are compelled to stay in wards for 23 hours a day and subjected to ruthless and inhuman treatment. Records show that in 2003, at least three inmates were hit to death by prison guards with guns of high voltage electric pods.

A report by Human Rights Watch in September last year said one in five male inmates in the US had faced forced sexual contact in custody and one in 10 had been raped. Yes, males and not females. On the other hand, women inmates are said to be objects of sexual assault of jail guards and one in every four of them are sexually abused.

In other shocking revelations, a 2003 report by the Office of Management and Budget under the US Congress acknowledged that the gap between the rich and the poor today is wider than anytime in nearly 70 years, with the wealth of the country's richest one percent population exceeding the overall possessions of the needy, who account for 40 percent of the total population.

It is also reported that the homeless population continues to increase as information by the US National Law Centre on Homelessness and Poverty showed that over three million people were homeless in 2002.

Racial discrimination is still rampant in the US while the poverty rate remained high.

On the infringement on the human rights of other nations, it is reported that the US has resorted to the use of force against other countries 40 times since the 1990s while a well-known US journalist, William Blum, revealed in his book that the US had attempted to overthrow more than 40 foreign governments and suppressed over 30 national movements. In the process millions of people lost their lives.

Most recently, in March 2003 without the authority of the United Nations, the US attacked, invaded and occupied Iraq under the false pretence that Saddam Hussein possessed weapons of mass destruction.

As the war in Iraq continues raging with no sign of peace, the US has tried all it can, including killing journalists to suppress information, but the truth has refused to die. Instead, US soldiers are the ones who are dying like flies in beloved Iraq. Al-Jazeera or no Al-Jazeera, information coming out of Iraq shows that it's a matter of time before the US forces withdraw from that country as they did when things got hot in Somalia.

The embarrassing withdrawal in Somalia led to the touching film *Black Hawk Down* and at the rate at which things are going in Iraq it's a matter of time before Hollywood produces another *Black Hawk Down* in Iraq.

Coming back home and as Mr Bush continues with his conquest games, over the past four years he had his British tailcoat, Mr Tony Blair, have been pushing for "regime change" in Zimbabwe as they tried to smuggle a puppet opposition leader, Mr Tsvangirai, into power. All this was being done to protect white interests in the country. Unfortunately the Zimbabwean project has failed just as has the bid to occupy Iraq.

Their puppet leader has not only failed to get into power but is sinking fast into political oblivion while attempts to put their "darling government" in Iraq has cost the US government over 500 soldiers.

Isn't it surprising that Mr Bush, who "stole" the election from Al Gore through the Florida votes and went on to use his self-appointed Supreme Court to declare himself the winner, has the courage to preach to the world about free and fair elections! What can an election thief say about free and fair elections?

And isn't it surprising that despite his much-talked-about war against terrorism, Mr Bush remained mum when the Zimbabwe security and defence forces recently captured about 67 terrorists who were on their way to topple a legitimate government in Equatorial Guinea? Or maybe terrorists are only terrorists in the US or Europe? There are no terrorists in Africa?

"Under the Bush government, America has become an enemy of not just democracy but of world peace, international law and co-operation to an unprecedented level where the United States has lost the moral right to lecture anyone about democracy and human rights since it has become the chief global violator of precisely these same globally cherished values," said Professor Moyo. Of course, stubborn as he is, Mr Bush will continue with his crusade to violate all international laws to suit his personal ego and his country's double standards on democracy and human rights will gather momentum as he wages his war against terrorism, but the world knows that the games are about broadening his empire, and the US will never be a safe place.

When will the Americans be free? Someone talk to Mr Bush for me!

So I depart from Zimbabwe with an invitation to all those who would like to spend splendid time there - it is still a Paradise for those who appreciate natural beauties. Next letter from Russia

Zimbabwe #2

Letter from Zimbabwe: Afterthought

Fredrick Töben - 10 April 2004

The alleged African AIDS epidemic is no allegation. In Southern Africa there are thousands of reported deaths where the death certificate reads: Cause - AIDS. This simplifies things somewhat, especially if we recall that the acronym AIDS stands for some 30-odd illnesses that in the past were categorized specifically, for example, as TB or yellow fever.

The powerful AIDS lobby has successfully cornered the opinion market - there is no dissent permitted. Proof of individuals dying is a fact, dying horribly and tragically. Few households remain untouched, all know of someone who has died of AIDS. When South African President Thabo Mbeke visited the US in 2002, he was called an AIDS denier because he insisted that the multi-national pharmaceutical companies' asking price for retroviral drugs was simply too high. Never mind that he had invited dissenting voices on the AIDS debate to the 2001 Durban International AIDS Conference.

"AIDS is a reality - a physical fact."

Recently - as happens regularly in Australia and elsewhere in the world - the matter of male circumcision has reared its ugly head again under the guise of the fallacious cleanliness argument. Now, thanks to the AIDS tragedy, the matter of branding individuals with that snip of the penis foreskin is again in vogue because so-called medical experts claim this will prevent AIDS. Jews, Moslems and some Christians are

happy to inflict this operation on their sons, of course for the sake of their religious belief. Why the foreskin delights their God as an offering is dubious to the non-believers who regard this practice as barbaric, and medically quite unnecessary.

A year or two ago a doctor in Australia claimed that little bits of snipped foreskin are good for healing burn wounds. Mothers and fathers were urged to get their sons done and then donate his snipped item to one of the burn units at a hospital. Prime time television exposure guaranteed that this information flowed into the community at large, though I never saw any follow-up on this. That there are more effective ways of treating burn victims than by placing on the wound a patchwork of snipped foreskins is a scientific fact.

If this matter of cutting off a part of one's genitals is supposed to be done for cleanliness reasons, then some may recall how one of our correspondents claimed this to be utter nonsense. If it is good to snip for the sake of cleanliness then, she said, we would also have to snip off our fingernails, ears, nose, perhaps mouth, etc. So, it is quite safe to assume that the cleanliness argument is fallacious, if not somewhat deceptive. Notice how, when pressed, the advocates of circumcision will withdraw from the cleanliness argument and fall back on the religious argument: That's what we have been doing for centuries, that's our custom and we demand the right to do exercise this rite on our sons. It is our genuine held belief, our religion demands it be done.

That religious argument is also offered as a reason to continue the disgusting practice of female circumcision, though fortunately the UN has accepted this to be unacceptable and barbaric, if not an outright human rights abuse - a crime against humanity.

At school the snipped boy will do what all boys do - compare. And so the physical division-discrimination between snipped and uncircumcised boys begins.

It is physically a fundamental difference, and there is no going back on it, except when older. At a certain age the circumcised will wonder what is IT like with the foreskin on. Now there are Internet websites that attempt to help those snipped to grow back their foreskin. Some of those that have lost something now seek to find that which they have lost - and the restless spirit begins the quest to find out, wanting to know.

There is a Jewish gentleman in Paris who has made a detailed study of circumcision and what effect this has on the infant's developing nervous system, claiming it does lead to the development of character traits specifically found in Jews. He claims it must be done on the eighth day for it to elicit that specific effect. Such thinking does not consider the tragedies created when the snipping is botched, as happened a while ago in Zimbabwe where a handful of infants bled to death.

Snipping the foreskin is thus a practice that is unnecessary and barbarous at best. I wonder whether this practice gave rise to the world-famous Melbourne Cup Race where horses are handicapped by weighing them down so as to ensure that the not-so-fast horse can also win the race?

Is the uncircumcised mentally more balanced and less driven than his counterpart because it is easier to relieve the procreative imperative? Is the circumcised forever out to prove that his suffered loss does not lead to deficiency thinking but merely to excessive pursuits of whatever nature? Perhaps a thesis needs to be written on this topic that will produce unequivocal results.

A related topic is how humans treat their animals because this indicates at what cultural level a society operates on the continuum of the life cycle- elementary/developed/degenerate.

In Australia, and elsewhere in the world, most farmers tag the ears and brand their cattle on the rump so as to have a quick visible identification mark in case the cattle rustler is too slow in getting his stolen goods to his kind of market. Besides the fact that this method is work intensive there are farmers who wish to get away from this practice. Likewise with the religious practice of slitting an animal's throat, a practice that Adolf Hitler stopped as soon as he ascended to power by outlawing such religious practices.

Isn't there an argument that animals are insensitive and don't feel pain when slaughtered? But then I forget that Judaism, Christianity and Islam have separated the human from the animal - and that humans are the crowning glory of creation.

This reminds me of a certain individual I met years ago in Southern Africa who claimed that black Africans lack sensitivity and that they can endure much more pain than the whites. Hence the need to beat them into submission! No wonder that now in Southern Africa for many white farmers there is real pay-back time from those blacks who recall such treatment as they make out their claim to white-owned land.

And so we have come full circle: The whites - mainly Anglo-Americans-Zionists (AAZF?) - are thrown out of Africa and their exploitation ends, and they return with full vengeance and throw all their scientific knowledge back on to the continent in the guise of humanitarian aid, thereby ensuring that obscenely-rich Africa - diamonds, gold and other strategic minerals, - is further exploited by the multinationals with just a little larger fraction of such wealth creation returning to its place of origin. What's a few billion dollars if that helps to safeguard markets!

The Christian Church is also doing its bit to help the southern African people. In both South Africa and Zimbabwe the Rhema ministry from the US is servicing countless hungry souls, especially during this Easter Weekend. Any Australian church official who bemoans the secular nature of the country would be delighted to have such a high number of adherents swell their dwindling congregations. That a number of Christian groups from the USA are also keen on remitting as much cash back to the USA is a factor overlooked by those who claim they are serving the people's spiritual needs. Business is business. This reminds me of the categorisation that fits Europeans in parts, and is illustrated through the following: A plane full of Europeans crash-lands on an island and the survivors begin to interact with the indigenous. The Americans explain to them how to deal and make business; the French show them how to cook; the Germans get them into military marching mood; the English remain at the seashore waiting to be introduced to the locals.

Islam's way of helping South Africans and Zimbabweans is done more subtly. Emphasis is not on bread and circus but rather on propagating concepts such as 'truth' and 'justice' within their closed but growing social and religious communities. Five times a day when the call goes out to the faithful the individual is strengthened by communality and not mere individualistic and hedonistic consumerism, be it physical or psychological. There is indeed strength in the collective.

This coming Wednesday, 14 April 2004, South Africa celebrates ten years of democracy. It will be a slick celebration that its television services will present in typical American commercial style. And that's the sadness of it - consumerism is the glue that keeps the various South African racial and religious communities together, and it seems that most South

Africans have willingly accepted the Goddess of Consumerism. The country is stabilising at a level of economic and social development that ensures there are now enough individuals who have a stake in the country's future development. Living standards have 'democratised'. How long this multi-ethnic consumer society will remain stable is anyone's guess. Perhaps one day it, too, will need to prime its industry in a way that has now made the USA a war economy where there is a desperate need to find ever more wars to fight.

No wonder the neo-cons agenda - President George W Bush - specifically states that the fight against terrorism will never end. So, watch out World, don't upset the war machine because it is a predator waiting to gobble you up - for the sake of freedom and democracy.

'Democracy' has now become the catch-cry as had before it 'freedom'. Both concepts are now linked so that the third concept 'terrorism/criminality' is firming as the official scapegoat. Any social unrest can now be explained away by blaming those who are excluded from the New South Africa on account of some personal deficiency. Woe be him who dares criticise the consumer-driven social and political system as defined by the multinationals. The 'terrorism' category awaits them - if they let it happen!

To date Zimbabwe is getting beaten with a big stick in the hope it will also relinquish its intolerable adherence to the 'nationalism' concept. The land issue that was the major drive for independence for Zimbabweans smacks too much of nationalism. The multinationals wish huge corporations to control the primary industry sector, not by thousands of individual farmers. History offers examples where the little farmer was ruthlessly smashed by both international predatory capitalism and international communism, where the landless masses were then driven into factories as wage slaves. In South America the restless landless masses are re-staking claims to land that belongs to insurance companies. When will Australian's landless masses re-claim the land that belongs, has belonged for over a century, to the largest landholders - the banks?

My prediction is, never, because too many individuals don't wish physically to work anymore. It is better to rest somewhere under a tree and drink or smoke or snort or inject the stuff that guarantees for a while at least Nirvana.

That reminds me of those individuals who have a hard time in finding a home within themselves, within their own mind because of their self-perception - self-fulfilling prophecy? They find themselves in a 'holocaust', or are just coming out of a 'holocaust', or are expecting a 'holocaust'. The psychological effect of such state of mind creates terrible neurosis, if not an outright persecution complex because of the perceived 'the world is out to get me'. I had the opportunity to glance at the December 1912, latest reprint 1944, of *Chambers's Etymological Dictionary* wherein the following definition is given: *Holocaust, hol'o-kawst, n. a burnt sacrifice, in which the whole of the victim was consumed. [L.-Gr. holocauston-holos, whole, and kaustos, burnt.]*

In my definition the most recent of such events was the destruction of Dresden by the British Airforce at the end of World War Two. All other claims to 'holocausts' and having been 'holocausted' are nonsense claims that cannot be backed up with physical evidence, while Dresden's claim can be verified, to this day even. So be it - for a while at least!

Letter from European Union: Great Britain, Netherlands, Finland Fredrick Töben Interlude

**Heathrow
11-12 April 2004**

The daytime flight on British Air from Harare to Heathrow, London, was full, and owing to the fact that some of my upgrade points are about to expire, I enjoyed the relaxing 10.30 hour flight in Club seat luxury to the full. My aching legs ached no more as I stretched out and let my mind wander into slumberland where beautiful thoughts suspended reality for a few hours, with my reminiscing on a week spent with friends in Harare, and meeting Zimbabwe Foreign Affairs Ministry officials who wish to set the record straight. President Robert Mugabe's predecessor, Ian Smith, found himself in a similar situation when Great Britain brought to bear its pressure on regime change to Rhodesia, something that ultimately occurred on 18 April 1980. That the aim of this change was couched in typical British cant, is a fact, because the aim is not really to ensure that a majority is happy in Zimbabwe but rather that the control of the strategic minerals is assured for the international military-industrial complex. Zimbabwe is extremely wealthy and if these resources are fully explored, then no-one need go hungry. But President Mugabe, as did Smith before him, wants his country's fair share without being exploited. But unlike Smith's Rhodesia, Mugabe's Zimbabwe is bankrupt and must thus sell at almost any price. And now the final challenge confronts the President of Zimbabwe: the self. The whites have gone followed by the Jews, and now many younger Indians are leaving, especially for Australia and Great Britain. That President Mugabe is doing just that is apparent in his severe crackdown on those individuals, even those associated with his Zanu-PF Party, who have been caught "externalizing foreign currency". Anyone in an official position who is rewarding himself or his dependents will face the Zimbabwe justice system.

South Africa's ten years of 'freedom and democracy' is a little more robust because the government of President Thabo Mbeki is already slipping into the almost full control of the Internationalists and there is little talk of that kind of nationalism that President Mugabe has developed in Zimbabwe. The Internationalists are re-colonising South Africa, merely replacing Afrikaners with their own brand of politics – that of the ideology whose main slogans are 'freedom', 'democracy', 'change', 'multiculturalism', i.e. bread and circus with a mixture of new theology and away from the fundamentalism of the Old Testament. The Jews as a financial and cultural group – mainly media – are also back again. South African television is full of anti-German films – the evil Nazis and their 'Holocaust'. Only the Moslems as a cultural group are resisting this bread and circus society.

Resistance is also building against the Internationalists, whose unlimited finance is now creating resentment, especially in the upmarket Cape Town suburbs where colonial mansions are snapped up at bargain prices by international jet-setting individuals for whom money is no object. Imagine being in that situation – money is no problem, but the mental limitations are disturbing because hedonism flourishes – pleasure-driven. There is no altruism, no regard for the locals and their desire to also improve their living conditions, for example getting out of the terrible shanty-town environment. The fact that Daimler-Chrysler has put its roots down and set up assembly plants for its world-wide distribution of its Mercedes E-class series may herald benefits for those who labour for the Germans.

Back to the flight that highlighted typical British Air cabin service, something that makes travelling a little less stressful, especially with age each journey becomes harder and harder. Some novice flyers felt overwhelmed at the attention given to them in this upgraded section where they found themselves

because of an overbooked economy class. Little did they know that while they may have felt overwhelmed at the attention to detail their every wish received – especially for that wine or whisky! – it was actually the servant-cabin crew that set the pace, as is always the case in a dependent master-servant relationship of the tourist kind. In real life, of course, an ancient British law still legally places the master in a win situation over the servant, something that unionism only nominally abolished. All too often the union bosses are bought off with material rewards and social rewards of the honours system kind that has made them pliable to Master's wishes! I, too, dreamt of one day gaining a Sir-title so that I could call myself Sir Freddy Toben, but since Simon Wiesenthal and Alan Greenspan received their honorary knighthoods, I feel the need to look elsewhere for such decorative incentives because I do not wish to be tainted by German-hating Royalty. A friend advises me that the current awarding of knighthoods, for example to the above-named gentlemen, is a mere aberration and purely political, and that this will one day change. For me it is significant that a self-confessed 'Nazi-hunter' receives a reward for doing stupid things. Wiesenthal has critics within his own camp who ridicule the claims that he, Wiesenthal, has actually caught so-called 'Nazis'.

London-Heathrow was a bustling scene with security personnel everywhere. I suppose it was the Easter holiday period that brought travellers into the air for the sake of wishing to go home.

Here's an item that caught my eye, from *The Daily Telegraph*, Saturday, April 10, 2004:

Eating out with Adolf and the Beckhams Way of the world – Craig Brown

Though undoubtedly popular with journalists, the Beckhams and Adolf Hitler are seldom mentioned in the same sentence. Yet the three of them were linked this week by lunches, past and present.

Reading Joachim Fest's *Inside Hitler's Bunker*, I discovered that, before shooting himself at 3.30-ish in the afternoon, Hitler sat down to lunch with his two secretaries and his dietician, Fraulein Manziarly.

The meal started at about 2pm, and didn't last long. His companions found Hitler less edgy than usual, but as office lunches go, it was hardly a bundle of laughs. "The time has come: it's over," announced Hitler as he rose from the table, which must have cast a blight over things.

All very interesting, but Fest has omitted an essential detail: what did Hitler's last meal consist of? Finding nothing, I looked up Hugh Trevor-Roper's *The Last Days of Hitler*, but met with a similar blank. "The conversation indicated nothing unusual. Hitler remained quiet and did not speak of his intentions," writes Trevor-Roper, but he doesn't mention the food. Hitler's most recent biographer, Ian Kershaw, has the lunch starting an hour earlier, at 1 pm, but says nothing about what they ate.

Ditto both Alan Bullock and William Shirer. I suppose it is possible that, amidst all the excitement, neither Manziarly nor the two secretaries could remember what they had been eating. Or perhaps the historians, their minds on higher matters, never thought to ask. But it is disappointing not to know. Did Hitler stick to salad, or did he throw caution to the wind, risk a dirty look from Manziarly, and tuck into a tasty frankfurter?

We know that, in his last few weeks alive, he had developed an insatiable passion chocolate cake. Did he, perhaps, squeeze in a great big slice of it for his last pudding, content in the knowledge that any weight gain would be only temporarily?

And so we switch to the Alpine resort of Courchevel, where, only last Tuesday, David and Victoria Beckham enjoyed a

lunch of what I imagine was almost comparable gloom at a swish hotel. Fortunately, reporters were at hand to record details of their meal for posterity. "Their buffet lunch included beef slices, hamburgers, tagliatelle, omelettes and cheese, reported the Mirror.

"They chose from chocolate gateaux and pastries for desert and ordered red wine and water. The Beckhams opted for extra-large helpings of fresh salad.

Such a detailed account seems to me much more satisfactory. Somehow, no description of a lunch, however jolly, however miserable, can be considered complete without a full inventory of the food. In Nicholas Henderson's diaries, the former ambassador records a chat he had with his friend Roy Jenkins in Paris in 1978 "Roy says he loves reading other people's diaries," he writes. "He likes to know what people have to eat."

Later, Jenkins gives Henderson a glimpse of his diary he kept when visiting China. "There were many accounts of meals," noted Henderson, "few of which apparently came up to expectations." Indeed, Jenkins later wrote an entire volume – European Diary – largely given over to listing everything he ate before, during and after all his negotiations as President of the European Unions. Jenkins also has a habit of popping up, knife and fork at the ready, in all his friends' diaries. On May 29, 1968, Richard Crossman records driving with him to Brooks's, "and after we'd had a drink we went into the dining-room and had claret and gulls' eggs and were gentlemen together".

In his Journal, Anthony Powell, who records meals with the dogged enthusiasm with which a train-spotter records trains, has a good few lunches with Jenkins.

On May 19, 1995, the two of them have a lunch with the Pinters consisting of prawn and egg, Welsh chicken pie, strawberries and cheese, accompanied by Boyd-Cantenac 1970.

Why do I find these lists of meals long since digested and expelled so very compelling? Perhaps it is because they call to mind not only the transitory quality of life but also its most solid pleasures. And whether it is the Beckhams chomping into their extra-large salads, or Crossman and Jenkins polishing off their gulls' eggs, knowing what everyone has to eat is an essential key to their character, and their times.

And the absence of food can be quite telling as its appearance. In the acres of diaries dictated by Tony Benn, mention is always made of who he has lunch with, but never what they eat. There are always more important things on his mind, and no time to lose. "I rang Marcia and invited her for a sandwich lunch" (Oct 21, 1975) is as expansive as he gets. He doesn't bother to mention what sort of sandwiches they were.

Some diarists are never satisfied with what is on their plate. When Joe Orton is invited to a meal in Bright by the theatrical agent Peggy Ramsay in July 29, 1967, his heart sinks. "Darling!" she said, "I'm going to take you to a Chinese restaurant." How I loathe Chinky food, I thought – and said – "Oh, that'll be nice. I've always liked Chinese cooking". When the meal arrives, it is not the Orton's taste "like shoe leather dipped in something a hyena might be expected to pass after eating a purgative root... 'There's not enough of these!' Peggy said, tearing at a waiter's sleeve. 'I've had quite enough to eat', everybody chorused."

It is possible, I suppose, that before he sat down to lunch Hitler had been feeling as fresh as a daisy but that something salad served up by Manziarly proved sufficiently disagreeable. But in the absence of more detail, this is one area of history which will, alas, always remain elusive.

In *The Sunday Telegraph* of 11 April 2004, an article about the latest technology from Sweden features a freeze-dried method of disposing of bodies:

'Freeze-dried and then turned to powder: the new way to be buried Swedish firm is to bring to Britain its 'eco-friendly' body disposal method that eliminates the pollution of cremation'.

The reporter, Michael Day, claims that "some 600,000 people die in Britain every year and cemeteries and graveyards have reached bursting point. In 10 to 15 years many will have to close to new burials, unless graves are reused or turned into 'double-decker' sites. Cremation – the choice of 70 per cent of Britons – creates pollution. The incineration of bodies with mercury-based tooth fillings has been blamed for creating mercury poisoning, which can attack the nervous system and cause brain damage.

Britain's 242 crematoria are having to install extra filters at a cost of around L187 million, which is likely to add L60 to the funeral bill of around L1,200. The firm behind the freeze-dried alternative, Promess Organics, based in Gothenburg, expects to get approval to start next year in Sweden and then bring it to Britain and other European countries."

Interesting for Revisionists? Perhaps.

Amsterdam

Schiphol Airport is a stark contrast to Heathrow – security is almost invisible, and I have to beg to get my passport stamped.

I approach an Exchange office with half a dozen counters, walk directly to one, then am met by eyes with a rejecting look from behind bullet-proof glass. I failed to collect my ticket and stand in line. I am number 126 and the number currently being served is 113. I patiently wait, and wonder what Christ would have done in this instance, standing before the money changers on Easter Monday!

As we taxi out on to the runway in Finair, passengers can watch the movement on the cabin monitor. A lone bike rider stands some metres from the runway and photographs our take-off. There is no security fence, no fence at all that separates the plane, the fields, and the person standing on that country road.

That's Holland for you. The same is of course legendary with the homes that offer anyone a good look-in because there are no curtains hiding anything.

This openness is in stark contrast to those obsessed with secrecy, the individuals and organizations that gain power over others by retaining some mystique, some knowledge, then slowly release that to the enquiring mind as a weapon of control. The control freak is utterly lost in Holland, and there are individuals who find the liberal spirit painful because there is nothing to control, nothing to use with which one can bolster one's own flagging self image.

The secret societies and its members must feel dispirited by such openness – and the snide remark that the Dutch have lost their brains because they are too open-minded and their brains fell out – is not applicable here.

Years ago flying was a mystery for many, today any passenger flying on a plane that offers a video birds-eye view of take-off and landing is slowly brought into the mysteries that flying to this day remains for most. Interestingly, I have met a few control freaks – and each one hated flying. Why? Usually it's a nebulous reason that doesn't satisfy rational enquiry.

Usually it is because in the air the control freak, who is obsessed with the winning-losing dialectic, has to submit and 'lose' to the pilots who are in charge, absolute boss. Add to that the fact that control freaks hate enclosed space because of the above reasons and you have a powerful mix that drives such individuals to either take a massive sleeping-pill dose or anything else that will sedate them for the duration of their flight. The side effect of flying is usually feeling nauseous, as some control freak experience when driving cars – but amazingly only when with others!

The massive security clamp-down in the Western world is usually now justified on grounds of terrorists' threats. But it goes deeper and the Dutch show us that it can be done in a civilized way. Likewise their prisons. A person who spends five years in an Asian or southern European jail will receive a good discount when returned to Holland – it has been known to be 4:1, i.e. one year in an Asian prison is equal to four in the Netherlands.

Australia's treatment of its refugees is designed to act as a deterrent, but that does not justify why Australia's prisons are overfilled. More food for thought, especially for those who delight in criminalizing human activity when the misdemeanor is usually a property-theft matter. At the base of this is our consumer society where the vulnerable short-circuit their perceived needs and encouraged desires to get whatever and consume. The poor who lack the financial clout, for whatever reason, feel they want to participate in this consumer society, much to the delight of the legal profession that flourishes when individuals and family units break up as a direct result of the actions of those that can't resist temptations.

It also reminds me of the men who proudly condemn prostitution, claiming that women who do this are beyond the pale and are a legitimate object of their hatred. All too often such men have no feeling for a woman as such, certainly not for one who usually is pushed into such trade on account of some economic crisis in her life.

All too often such vociferous men have in the past had some bad experience while visiting such ladies of pleasure. Remember the man who boasts he can get any woman of his choice? Woe be the woman who refuses him. He will condemn her for a life-time, as will a woman scorned by a man!

Helsinki

This 450 year-old white metropolis of over a million inhabitants hasn't changed much since I last visited it some 30-odd years ago. Certainly there are developments, but they are those that have swept across the globe and affected all capital cities of the world. But just outside things have remained essentially the same. The people are friendly, and if you do what I do when I arrive in a foreign land, throw away the map and ask you way through, then you get a feel for the indigenous, for the locals.

The Statue of Paavo Nurmi still stands and Sibelius' music is everywhere – hundreds of steel pipes make up his monument – as is the latest pop music, and the not so old. One radio station that's popular is aptly called KISS FM.

Traffic is light, but perhaps that's because it is Easter Monday. I check in to a nice hotel where the typical Finnish meal consists of fried Baltic herring, spinach potato puree, dill, onion sauce and beetroot slices, with bread. I chose water with my meal because I committed a terrible sin at Amsterdam and at Helsinki airports. The tempting offer of free small chocolate Easter eggs was too irresistible, and I now felt the ills of it. That's what you get when you have gone off chocolate, then come on again – strongly! Water, however, is always a good flushing agent.

Helsinki's trams are also a good tourist attraction for those who wish to go native.

'Hei' in Finnish means Hello, and without the H as in 'Ei' it means No! And 'Kyllä' means yes. So, kyllä hei ei! There are about 5.2 million people, mainly Lutherans that speak Finnish. I'm trying to find a spot where I can go swimming in the ice water, as I did in Cape Town, but perhaps I shall leave that until I reach Russia where such things are also done.

Letter from Kaliningrad Königsberg Fredrick Töben - 14 April 2004

Farewell from the European Union – EU – and damned be the German legal ambush that nearly succeeded. Yes, the Germans are at it again ... not giving anyone Natural Justice but relying on a legal ambush to have their way with me.

The above expressed sentiment is not typically German but it comes out of a Germany that is infested with a foreign mindset that propagates the 'Holocaust' dogma. As this 'Holocaust' dogma is pure German hatred it is self-evident that Germans who believe in the 'Holocaust' dogma are self-hating Germans – and that is very sad indeed.

Departing Finland

A pleasant night's rest in Helsinki and a hearty breakfast signified my wellbeing. Yet I always expect the worse and

hope for the best – and my Finland departure illustrated how important it is to be prepared for the worse, otherwise our emotions will forever be up and down like a yo-yo.

I checked in at the Finair counter, and at Passport Control requested proof of my exit with that clear EU FN stamp in my passport, then settled down in the Finair Lounge. TV showed someone holding up a book: The Diary of Anne Frank followed by Stalin. I could not hear what it was about because the volume was unusually low.

Then I saw two burley armed young men in green uniform enter and begin a conversation with the two receptionists. All four, ever so discretely – and separately – glanced towards me. Had I been in a New York subway train carriage such a glance would not have amounted to a challenge, where then someone feels under attack and yells out: "What're you looking at me for?"

Ever so gently the men slid out the lounge and presently I saw the receptionist walk towards me – a definite walk towards me. Softly she advised me that the two gentlemen outside would like a word with me, and would I please take my coat and bag with me, which I did. Been there, done that!

The powerlessness, if one is a control freak, must be devastating at such moments for those who do not have a clear conscience. The individuals who set such a bureaucracy in motion must inversely feel a great power surge as their will is acted upon, in this instance by two young men just doing their duty, carrying out orders.

Outside, the young men, gentlemen rather, advised me that owing to the Schengen Agreement there is a request from Germany that I not be permitted to enter any of the countries that make up the European, and would I please accompany them to their office just a few paces from the lounge. I didn't have a choice in this matter, but how often, for example in the US, is it customary to make a big scene and heap embarrassment on the person.

I handed over my passport and the letter I had received from the Bundeskriminalamt, Wiesbaden, Germany, wherein it is clearly stated that there is nothing pending on me that would prevent me from travelling to Germany.

I also handed over a letter I had written to Judge Adam of the Mannheim Landesgericht wherein I respond to a call I had received from my German lawyer, Ludwig Bock about a month ago. Bock had been contacted by Judge Adam who enquired whether Bock was still my legal counsel of choice. Adam had also advised that my re-trial was to be set down to the first week in May. I advised Bock that this would have to be postponed because of my being engaged otherwise, but any time after May would suit me. My willingness to return to Germany for a re-hearing of my case is on public record because as I wrote to Judge Klaus Kern, the world is my prison, where could I hide then?

In my letter to Judge Adam I advised him that Ludwig Bock is not anymore my legal counsel because he fears defending me with vigour on account of the consequences that would have – Bock would be charged again as he and been about five years ago when he defended Guenter Deckert "too vigorously", and that this brought Bock's mindset close to that of the Revisionists, according to state prosecutor Klein. Bock was duly fined.

My legal counsel of choice was therefore a courageous advocate – Horst Mahler who had agreed to take on my defence.

Unfortunately since a few days ago Horst Mahler has been debarred from acting for anyone else as a barrister. In his case before Judge Faust Horst Mahler collected numerous writs along the way that emerged out of what he stated in court as part of his defence. In Germany absolute privilege does not attach to court proceedings, and so if you wish to defend yourself against an allegation and counter that or even support it with evidence, then that is additional proof of your "criminal energy" that you are bearing upon the whole situation.

Truth is no defence – only a plea for having transgressed and recanting will get you a sympathetic ear from the judge.

It is truly witch-trial and Inquisition time in Germany, and this effectively eliminates Mahler from taking on my case and that of other Revisionists – in the foreseeable future at least.

The Finnish Border Control gentlemen took copies of my documentation, and they showed me the document that emanated from Germany. It clearly stated that on 9 January 2004 Germany had requested EU member states to refuse me entry because I am now designated as an undesirable person not fit to enter the EU. According to the Schengen Agreement this means that all EU points of entry have been alerted that my presence in the EU is VERBOTEN! Why wasn't I advised that my letter had been superseded by this current one? The young men also received from me some additional material that I carried with me. They are all English literate.

Apologetically they said they were merely carrying out orders, and that they cannot do anything to change the situation. "You must change it!" they said almost in unison, then again apologized for taking up my time.

Did you, dear reader, hear this? Revisionists must change the situation!

And so I returned to the lounge where the receptionist welcomed me back without my having to identify myself anew. When I touched down at Moscow's Sheremetyevo Airport, Overseas Terminal 2, and had my passport stamped by an attractive brunette who said, "Welcome to my country!" I wondered for a moment if that welcome will have to be accepted literally in the near future. We shall see.

As I exited the Passport Control and customs, I almost felt at home – and safe.

A couple of individuals hawked for taxis, and another advised me that the transfer to the domestic terminal was free and I should go to "that" counter.

My onward flight to Königsberg was secured.

PS: The individuals I was supposed to meet at Amsterdam and Helsinki had cancelled the treff a few days before. Coincidence? Of course! Anyone who now says that Revisionists are imagining their own persecution have proof that this legal persecution is real. It is world-wide, as currently exemplified by Ernst Zündel's senseless imprisonment.

Question: Who stands behind the enforcers of this 'Holocaust' dogma religion?

I ask again: What is my crime? Is it because I refuse to believe in the things that I believe didn't happen?

I have always been a law abiding citizen in whichever country I happen to find myself. I have never distributed any so-called 'Holocaust' material in Germany, and the material on our website is there for those who are interested in reading it. No-one is forced to look at that material, and if by accident anyone stumbles on to it, then surely it is that person's moral duty, that person's freedom of choice to either go on reading or switching off. That's what free speech is all about.

Nowhere have I been charged with any crime, except in Germany, the country of my birth.

What individual mindset is it that seeks to criminalize thinking – and why? Can it be reduced to that simplistic formula – battle of the wills? Over to you for comments.

Letter from the Russian Federation's Capital City: Moscow Fredrick Töben - 16 April 2004

My brief stay in Kaliningrad Province was again a delight, but only insofar as my Kantian memories is concerned. In fact, Königsberg in this 200th year of Immanuel Kant's death will be hosting an international Kant conference a few days before we have our conference at Sacramento.

Kant was a funny fellow in that he is one of the greatest universal minds. That fellow Einstein (one stone) pales into insignificance with what Kant did with his mind. But even Kant had blind spots. This bachelor admitted that when he was younger and had an interest in marrying, he lacked the

money; when he had security and money to offer a woman, he wasn't too interested in giving up his bachelor freedoms. There is no suggestion that he was anything but a heterosexual who did, however, have a male servant. One day, it is reported, bugs that had appeared in his bed were caused by the sun's rays. He thus instructed his servant to keep the curtains closed and the sun out of his bedroom. Such is the blessings of a thinker whose working Umfeld – environment – was a square kilometer, and that townspeople set their watches to Kant's regular daily routine walk to and from work at the university.

While walking and riding on shaky public buses and trams that make up Kaliningrad's public transport system, I could not help but compare the state of its roads with that of Harare, Zimbabwe. Anyone who bemoans the state of disrepair of Harare's roads can rest easy. You ain't seen nothing yet because Harare's roads have about another ten years' life in them – and then they will perhaps resemble those of Kaliningrad.

Buses literally crawl along stretches of cobble stoned roads attempting to avoid potholes of no mean size, and trams even sway at walking pace though their tracks are spared the dodging game. And in-between this public transport you have the countless taxis and private cars. I lost count noting the many Mercedes and other luxury German cars on the roads. Of course then there are the trucks – old monster of things that look and sound their age.

It is the poverty of the province that makes it all so sad to see mainly elderly women street sweepers using those legendary witches brooms as their instrument in a never-ending battle against litter and dirt.

The Königsberg Dome is again under repair because maintenance is not regular. The circularity of it all is disturbing: no money for running repairs; no basic and regular maintenance because of no money for such and other public services. And so the disrepair becomes a patched up affair that does not solve the problem so that one can reach the next stage of development – begin to beautify things.

In the Dome park young men and women idle away their time – smoking and drinking beer, but all are smartly dressed, and most have their mobile phones activated.

Consumerism is the current driving force – and only a lack of money imposes a restraint/constraint on individuals' wishes. So, the importance of controlling the amount of money that is available also determines what activity individuals get up to. In Harare there is hyperinflation while in Kaliningrad province there is restraint implemented, and opposed by those who have a frenzy for a feeling to get what they crave by selling things and their own soul. It's the old story of demand and supply – There were three flights leaving Kaliningrad Airport on Thursday morning – at 10.10 am and 10.45 am to Moscow's two airports, and an 11 am flight to St Petersburg. All passengers were cleared almost simultaneously – and I had to

stay alert to ensure I didn't get on the wrong plane.

The flight across from Kaliningrad to Moscow was uneventful. The old Aeroflot plane showed its age, and the cabin service for this two-hour flight was a bare minimum: a bottle of water and two small packets of pretzels. There was no in-flight magazine, and no safety-check card was on board, nor was there a demonstration of such. All this reminded me of our new Australian Virgin Blue service – cheap and nasty. I must be really becoming old and degenerate because I yearn for that little extra comfort of service that British Air, Finair, Qantas, et al, routinely provide.

Thanks to the Internet and to Telstra's Australia Direct Reverse Charge I was able to contact reliable individuals back home who sent on my message to Jürgen Graf that I would be arriving at the Domestic Terminal of Sheremetyevo Airport, and not at Terminal 2. I was pleased to see Jürgen when I emerged from the Airport complex because not having become too familiar with the Russian alphabet it would have been painful for me to make my way to his place – and I had also

somewhere in Africa lost the slip of paper on which I had written his details.

After settling myself in the Vega Hotel complex, Juergen and I supped in Russian style – it was good! Interestingly, after I had retired to bed the phone rang.

A lady asked me whether I wanted sex tonight. I was half drowsy and couldn't quite make sense of what she was saying – for me rather more a matter of surprise or disbelief. "I want what?" I asked. "Do you want to have woman for sex tonight?" I politely declined saying I was already in bed and almost asleep. Such is the Russian free market principle where money speaks every language, something that President Vladimir Putin is not too happy about. And I agree with that kind of sentiment.

To be continued.

Fredrick Töben

Continuing Letter from Moscow - conclusion.

18 April 2004

Before the demise of communism there was a saying: In Communism the woman rapes her man; in capitalism the man rapes his woman. After the fall of the Berlin Wall most women in Berlin East went immediately to the KDW (Kaufhof des Westens) and went on a massive shopping spree, and the men went to Beate Uhse, the sex shop in the West.

And so it is now a fact that the only freedom we have in the west is going shopping.

And the former eastern block countries are fast catching up to consumerism, something the Iranians are resisting with all their might.

Moscow is a city with close on 11+ million inhabitants, and that brings it in line with the rest of the world's cities of such proportions. It not easy to rule such centres - in fact, they are not being ruled but they continue to exist in the multi-layered fabrics of human endeavour.

Public transport is excellent in Moscow - no graffiti on trains, trams, trolleybuses or buses.

In Moscow there are now international tribunals that are focusing on the criminal activities perpetrated by NATO on, for example, ex-Yugoslavia, on the Milosovich political trial - and supported by eminent world figures, such as ex-General Wolfgang Richter and US Ramsay Clark.

It is a slow process, but nationalist forces are opposing the internationalists that are currently in control of the US military agenda in Iraq, Palestine, et al.

The current focus of such tribunals in Russia, Italy, et al, will focus on liberating Arabian countries from internationalism.

There is a conference in Berlin planned for 17-18 June, so, stay tuned.

The impulses from Russia are strong - and good.

Letter from America!

Fredrick Töben - in Sacramento, California

23 April 2004

For the Record: Rechthaberei - 'I was right!'

For those who accepted the European American Culture Council's and Adelaide Institute's invitation to a Revisionist Conference in Sacramento on 24-25 April 2004, the following items will possibly help to clarify matters.

1. Fredrick Töben's reflections on the cancelled conference.

2. Judy's thoughts on the wisdom or otherwise of staging a demonstration before the German Turn Verein.

3. Edgar Steele's thought - giving false credit to Mark Weber instead of Harvey Taylor.

4. Mark Weber's thoughts - with comment from Fredrick Töben.

5. Walter Mueller's 'I was right' justification in unilaterally cancelling the conference after the German Turn Verein refused to honour its commitment.

6. Letter from America! Fredrick Töben - in Sacramento, California, 22 April 2004.

1. Fredrick Toben's Reflections

The wonders of technology continues to imbue me with a sense of wonderment. Each time a plane takes off from some airport, loaded full of individuals going somewhere, I marvel at the human feat that makes all this possible. The doors of this huge machine close, and soon it moves along the runway, then suddenly the somewhat irritating vibrations begin to hum as the plane lifts off skywards.

Control freaks hate flying because once up there in the air it is not a matter of controlling anything absolutely. If things go wrong the pilot has to work together with other individuals and with a multitude of instruments that advise on what is happening at a particular moment.

Harvey Taylor, Jim Beardsley and I - and all pilots for that matter - know what it is like when things go wrong in the air. It does not help to scapegoat at that moment and blame anyone for the predicament. It also does not help to pray and do nothing and only hope things will be alright and the problem will go away. A recent example of such matter occurred on my British Air flight from Moscow to Heathrow, London. Half way through this flight the toilets had become full - and a technician attempted to solve that problem. The pilot advised afterwards that he had thought about diverting and landing the plane, but then decided to continue and get priority landing for Heathrow.

There are other countless examples of human progress in the macro-world that are now mirrored in the micro-world, such as near instant communications as is offered via the Internet world. In all such processes nothing is perfect, and there will always be problems to solve, especially unforeseen ones. For example I had made contingency plans to have a video screened at the conference that details my persecution in Australia as reported by our television news services. It would have filled my one-hour talk spot as a good introduction to the topic of Revisionist persecution. There are still individuals who do not think this persecution is a physical fact, and that it is a result of our personal behaviour.

Contingency plans are thus an essential element of any human endeavour - especially in politics, as we saw with 9:11 where all the legal constraints of the Patriots Act were already nipped out.

And despite all the planning, life is not to be ensnared by rules and regulations - as we saw in the communist system where every detail of the economy was thought-through. That's the essence of dialectic thinking, and I use the Bertrand Russell example of what dialectics is all about. Two individuals are resting for the night. When it is time to get up, one just jumps out of bed, gets dressed, and is off to work. The other will begin to think: left foot first out of bed, right foot first out of bed. Thus is born the control freak who still has his bottom bare while the other person is already hard at work producing the goods.

Individuals who have a low stress threshold level feel more pain when confronted with real life situations, and thus seek to scapegoat if they have not matured and have not accepted the fact that living is often painful.

This kind of self-reflection made me toy with the title of my talk, and I settled on a basic theme - dialogue rather than

them-us hatred. That would fit into the scheme of what Revisionists are doing - not hating, as is alleged by those that themselves are the haters - but rather to welcome a dialogue. In this way we clarify the historical problems that require our attention.

When the State of California Governor proclaims a Holocaust Week from 18-25 April 2004, then it is legitimate for individuals to ask what was this 'Holocaust' about. Further, it is legitimate to evaluate anything offered during such a week and subject such information to a rigorous truth-test.

Simply put, are we being treated like mushrooms, kept in the dark (closed archives and denied access to information) and fed on bull dust? According to what I have seen on free-to-air television in the world this is the case. But then I have the freedom to switch off, and I am not being force-fed material that does not uplift, that does not inspire, that does not develop my mind.

The ultimate example of the them-us hatred mindset for me is when someone says to me: Either you are with me or you are against me. Such dialectic thought pattern does not accord an individual a personal space, nor does it offer an individual the opportunity to respond freely. The prime example is the US President proclaiming the war on terrorism: those who question his 'the fight for freedom and democracy, and against terrorism' sloganeering, are in grave danger of finding themselves before the courts.

Just recently a respected Texan bio chemist academic felt the full force of the law when he was taken to court because he had broken some new law that prohibits him from doing certain things. The court decision has had a chilling effect on all research in the USA. I wonder if this research will now - as is the case with much other research - go to Israel. Legal constraints are imposed in many countries with a vengeance while Israel gets away with it. The perception is that this is not an accident but rather one of design.

The them-us dialectic thought pattern has emerged again since Walter Mueller cancelled the conference without informing me about it first. This was disturbing for me because Adelaide Institute provided a sizeable number of new speakers to the Revisionist scene, and thus an alternate venue should have been organised. That is the only criticism I shall make of Walter Mueller's handling of the planning of this conference.

Upon my arrival in Sacramento it was Harvey Taylor, our MC, who began to do the groundwork and looked for an alternate venue. Mueller's unilateral decision to cancel did not mean that our sizeable speaker contingent could not re-constitute itself into another conference. Paul Fromm, for example, that veteran fighter from Canada was on his way to the conference, as was Marc Lemire, that technical Wunderkind who had to date put TBR's and IHR's conferences on the Internet.

Interestingly, at the last 2002 IHR conference he even had to pay for his own hotel room, that's how dedicated he is to the cause. He is a professional - and he now feels that something is not going right because he is receiving instructions that seem to change again and again. It concerns the title of the conference that is emerging out of the ashes of the EACC-Adelaide Institute Revisionist Conference.

Mark Weber - IHR - has taken it upon himself - so according to Harvey Taylor - to be 'in charge' of the 'new' conference. My point is that although Mueller jumped off the ship we were still there, and MC Harvey Taylor was the bridging link, the captain of the re-constituted conference. Weber's actions remind me of cannibalism and parasitism where out of a disaster someone comes along and picks up things, then claims they are his and they are new.

[I refrain from elaborating on this issue in any more detail because such thought-pattern raises fundamental moral and intellectual problems, some of which go back a decade concerning some Revisionists.]

Weber did not know the nature of the speakers' relationship to either EACC or Adelaide Institute.

Unfortunately the money factor also comes into it. Weber was not prepared to pay for my costs, etc.

I could not answer Mark Weber if I was prepared to speak at the IHR conference. How can I speak at our conference because although Mueller had cancelled we had not cancelled.

Taylor then wished to know if I was prepared to speak at a combined IHR-Adelaide Institute conference. Again my point was that we drop the designations IHR or Adelaide Institute and accept the Taylor proposal that this be a conference for Ernst Zundel.

Soon afterwards, through a third party, I was advised that Ernst Zundel had called Mark Weber collect from his prison in Toronto and specifically requested that the conference be called an IHR conference. My reading out the long letter I had received from Ernst only a little while ago is thus not necessary any more.

This matter now has me worried because Walter Mueller's outburst against Mark Weber and Weber's new alliance with alleged white supremacists would be ideal for the Canadian authorities who have stated that Ernst Zundel is a kind of godfather of the white supremacist movement. And as these immoral legal proceedings against Zundel in Canada are an absolute farce - but they are continuing - then we must not do anything that could be construed to be harmful to Ernst.

I do not want any part in setting up Ernst Zundel for the firing line - if that can be construed to flow from the Saturday conference.

And as it is Ernst Zundel's specific request to have our conference - I still call it our conference - under the Schirmherrschaft of the IHR and its allies, then so be it. I shall not fight Ernst Zundel's wishes.

Accordingly Adelaide Institute withdraws from the proceedings with an apology to its invited speakers, advising them to send me their costs claims that arose out of Walter Mueller unilaterally cancelling the conference and without him having endeavoured to find another venue.

I also apologize to all those many individuals who trusted us in getting this conference off the ground. It will not now help to start the blaming game and play the victim of Mueller's 'hot-headedness' or Toben's trusting nature. Any such triumphalism, something I have detected in Mark Weber's talk and actions about whose conference it now is, is futile in-fighting. I go along with Germar Rudolf and judge individuals by what they produce because in the Revisionist world, and elsewhere, talk is cheap. Germar Rudolf is the power house of Revisionism and his track record is excellent.

2. Judy's thoughts on the wisdom or otherwise of staging a demonstration before the German Turn Verein

Jim Beardsley has asked me to comment on the planned boycott of the Turnverein. I neglected to get his e-mail address from Fredrick Toben before he went to bed so I am sending this to him so that he can forward it to Jim as Jim will be gone before I get up tomorrow to send it myself.

The Sacramento Turnverein is celebrating its 150th anniversary on June 5 of this year. They have just refurbished the building. They have a choir, I believe is also 150 years, they have songfest, where all the choirs from the Bayarea

come and contend. On the 2nd of May they have a Children's Maifest. They have German Language Schools for children through adult. They have a lovely library. They have all the traditional fests. At Christmas Time they have a Kriskindle Markt. Thy collect coats for kids at that time. It grows each year and more and more young children are attending. These people have put in untold hours building a wonderful organization and excellent community relations.

It would be an absolute shame if anyone would do anything to mar their excellent reputation. When families have squabbles, they do not hang their wash out in public. After the conference it is time to have a meeting and discuss what has happened.

Sacramento is a town that has about 90,000 Russian immigrants. I have no idea how many Kmong, Mexican, Latin American and African, but it is a lot. We have wonderful write ups for all of these groups. We hope they will do the same for the 150th celebration. If there would be a boycott by any group that is tainted by the media it would be a tragedy.

It is not too many years ago that we had 3 Synagogues fire bombed in one night.

Two brothers, admitted white supremacists, were arrested and admitted that they had done it. They had also killed a homosexual couple in Redding.

The community came together to support the Jewish community in unprecedented fashion. Candle light parades, gathered \$500,000 for repairs. Cuomo, the son of the NY Governor was head of Human Resources, HUD or some such department in the Clinton Administration. He arranged for a \$6,000,000 loan guarantee for the Synagogues.

Anything dealing with any group that has any taint, real or imagined will reflect very badly on the Revisionist movement in general and I fear could hurt the very person you hope to help.

From the bottom of my heart I plead with you do not protest openly. Some of these people have worked 50 to 60 years to build a wonderful vibrant organization and it could be destroyed by out of towners, that have no investment in this club at all.

And who would be laughing? having spent not a penny. We would destroy ourselves.

I pray that cooler heads will prevail. This is one German organization that has done everything right...we can educate them later as ladies and gentlemen. It is true, you win more bees with honey than with vinegar.

Thank you for letting me put my 2 cents worth in.

I pray the conference will be a success. Look at this as an opportunity to build, not tear down a vibrant organization. Judy

3. Ed Steele and his thoughts RE: The Saturday Conference Quoting "Edgar J. Steele"

steele@plainlawtalk.com

Having been mentioned here, I cannot help but dip my oar in the water. Yes, I absolutely agree with Frederick that compromise is important. We must keep the truly important people here uppermost in our minds: the conference attendees. Then we should also keep in mind the image and importance of Ernst, to whom the conference is dedicated. Then we must keep in mind that we are in the public eye and have a responsibility to act both accountably and responsibly. Then the sensibilities and needs of the speakers should be attended to. Finally, and only after all those people are accommodated, come the organizers.

Mr. Mueller clearly lost sight of all this and put himself first and foremost. He blew it badly. His cosponsors should have provided better in the event of his failure, frankly, but did not. [Rather difficult from Australia, Ed! This was a first Revisionist conference organized in absolute openness via the Internet, and the enemy of free speech merely waited for self-destruction to occur. Easy! Fredrick Toben]

The advance naming of the venue was a clear tipoff to many of us, despite his assurances that it could not go sideways. We simply didn't realize how naive and incompetent he really is. Unfortunately, he seems to have exclusive control over the attendee email list, which is about to become unimportant except as an item of evidence in a lawsuit. Now is not the time to recriminate over him, however. We will have plenty of time to pick over his rotting carcass later.

I can reach a lot of people, and have already done so. I will again, tonight or tomorrow. Unfortunately, Stormfront's forum seems to be off air just now, which is a rich source for communicating. Having someone at the Turn Verien to redirect people is a must.

Mark deserves some special recognition, because without his intervention there would be NO conference taking place. [sic - it is Harvey Taylor who is doing the groundwork - FT] He seems to control the web site and venue, therefore it seems unlikely anybody will prevail upon him to generalize the name to something like the "Zundel Revisionist Conference," though that would be my personal preference. I can't honestly say that he doesn't deserve to put the IHR imprimatur upon it, however, because he IS the guy making it happen. None of the rest of us, though we play a part, can say that. And Harvey is working his butt off, obviously, and deserves our thanks.

Some of us have differences. We must put them aside and think of others. The show must go on. I particularly look forward to Frederick's participation and speech.

I wanted to see Mr. Chamish provided some time, since he could be expected to be put out about the original cancellation. I intended to make him into a Holocaust Denier with the irrefutable logic of my presentation and my ability to cloud men's minds, by the way. However, since it has come to light that "he said the JDL contacted him, and he gladly gave them full copies of his speech AND! invited them to the conference," I am not so sure it is such a good idea to have him there (see my earlier missive today about being threatened by Mr. Maniacal). Fortunately, it is not my call to make, since it's a tough one. I'll support whichever way you fellows decide to go with this one. After all, I'm just a speaker - you guys are doing all the heavy lifting.

Get an accounting, fellows, of all the direct expenses of this new conference and we will make a pitch at some point for contributions. If nobody else wishes to do so, then I will step up and do it, since I'm not the one coming out of pocket. Whatever Walter has expended, as far as I'm concerned, he can eat, unless he cooperates and provides the email list and some of the food and drink he is whining about.

A final word, then I'll butt out: let's have some fun Saturday. I'm looking forward to seeing and hearing all of you and everybody else that ends up speaking. If the best revenge is living well, then we truly can do no better than to enjoy ourselves! -ed

-----Original Message-----

From: info@adelaideinstitute.org

Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2004 2:58 PM

To: James Beardsley

Cc: marc@lemire.com; weber@ihr.org; hataylor@syix.com; steele@plainlawtalk.com; info@adelaideinstitute.org

Subject: The Saturday Conference

Marc, please note that Mark Weber (IHR) is not in charge of the re-constituted conference, but that Harvey Taylor is - he is in charge because he is the transition MC from one to the other events.

This was agreed to by Harvey and I because this would unite the supporters of Ernst Zundel. Calling the conference an IHR conference would alienate those supporters who dislike Mark, but like Ernst.

Ernst may have a wish but he must also realize - which he does - that the factions cannot be united under the IHR banner.

The aim of this conference is to unite not to point-score and behave in a triumphal way - that win-lose thinking that Mark is carrying with him when he insists that he is in charge.

Harvey is aware of the importance of compromise - and even Ed Steele agrees with me on this.

For example, Barry Chamish will possibly be a speaker and he is one of ours, etc. Please contact Harvey Taylor who is in charge of the conference for Saturday. Sorry about you being dragged into this - Fredrick

Quoting James Beardsley jamesbeardsley@earthlink.net:

-----Original Message-----

From: Marc Lemire [<mailto:marc@lemire.com>]

Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2004 2:26 PM

To: James Beardsley

Subject: RE: Our talk / The Saturday Conference

<http://www.internationalrevisionistconference.com>

Homepage now says:

"Free Zundel Revisionist Conference sponsored by his friends and supporters." -Marc

**4. Mark Weber's thoughts - Re: False information /
[With comment from Fredrick Toben] Quoting Mark
Weber <weber@ihr.org>:**

22 April 2004

Dear Fredrick,

In spite of our talk the other day, you continue to put out false information about the Saturday conference.

The Saturday IHR conference is not simply the defunct two-day conference under another name. It is true, as you have indicated, that several of the speakers at the Saturday event will be in northern California this coming weekend anyway because they had planned to address the two-day conference that was cancelled by the organizer, Walter Mueller.

But not all of those who were to address the defunct conference were invited (by the IHR) to attend the Saturday event. Furthermore, the venue for the Saturday conference was paid for by the IHR.

And even Walter Mueller has asked that the Saturday conference be called an IHR event.

It's not so important who gets credit for the Saturday event, which we organized to rescue something from the wreckage of the defunct two-day conference. What's important is that this event is taking place at all.

If the IHR had not taken the initiative to organize the Saturday event, there would be no conference.

[sic - IHR is Mark Weber who has difficulty organising anything of substance, and it was Harvey Taylor who paid the \$5.000 on behalf of the IHR to our conference. It is Harvey's wish to see the IHR start to function again, something that has

not happened under Weber. Taylor is watching German Rudolf's

The Revisionist magazine, and in time Taylor may announce the demise of the IHR's JHR.

Some time ago I suggested that on account of my having a reasonable track record for meeting deadlines in productions, I could take over the IHR and run it efficiently, while Mark Weber becomes the resident research professor, and continues writing his excellent articles. Mark admitted that he cannot meet deadlines - and so his new role as Zundel publicist is perhaps more appropriate, I sincerely hope so. It is a pity that Mark's IHR staff are always hired by Mark, but then always fired again. There is clearly something wrong somewhere.

Some Revisionists think that Mark Weber is there to close down organisations - something he effectively has done with the once flourishing IHR. Nothing of significance has come out of the IHR - except court cases. I did state to Weber that it was time to stop litigation processes against Carto - in the hope of getting money on the quick, and without any personal effort.

His new venture into the white supremacist groups is a worry. After all, one reason why he and Greg Raven at gun-point wrested the IHR building from Elizabeth and Willis Carto was because he perceived them to be racists. And now Weber consorts with known racists? Something is not quite clear to me here. I now wish Mark Weber well in his endeavour - he has been calling himself the IHR for a decade now and by his fruits he shall be remembered.

By the way, my use of the term 'racists' is not of the kind indulged in by those who use it as a shut-up word. Most mature individuals are racists because they love to be with their own kind, culture and community - as is my preference.

That does not mean I am a supremacist and refuse to interact with the whole spectrum of human nature. I oppose the multicultural society because it is a fraud, and is only comfortable to those who have the cushion of protection from social strife that money provides, viz. the left-liberals. Throughout my recent travels I met Asian, European and African racists who, however, are not supremacists. That is the difference one ought to make. I sense this triumphalism-supremacism of the Jewish kind, the dialectic of win-lose in Mark Weber's email - Fredrick Toben]

We intend to make sure that proper credit is given to you, Walter and Hannes for your important work.

Please let me know if you accept our invitation to address the Saturday conference. If I do not hear back from you by the end of today, I will assume that you do not accept our invitation, and accordingly you will not be one of the speakers.

Regards,

Mark weber@ihr.org Institute for Historical Review

Tel. 949 - 631 1490

**Subject: Re: ** Internet Broadcast - 2004 IHR
Revisionist Conference**

Date: Thu, 22 Apr 2004 19:13:06 +0930

From: infoadelaideinstitute@adam.com.au

To: marc@lemire.com

CC: htaylor@syix.com, weber@ihr.org,

toben@adelaideinstitute.org,

thetruthisback@yahoo.com, paul@paulfromm.com,

hm@deutsches-kolleg.org

Marc

I have been talking with Harvey Taylor and he claims this is

not an IHR conference. Marc did not know the extent to which we had organised speakers for the conference because that was an agreement between Walter and myself.

Marc wanted to invite me to the conference, and I said to him that this cannot be because I am attending our conference, albeit in truncated form, as is Ed Steele and Michele Renouf, both of whom I had invited originally, and whom Mark re-invited because they are already on their way, etc.

I explained this to Harvey and Mark and so think it is not quite right to call this an IHR conference, etc.

Harvey Taylor is doing the legwork-organisation here in Sacramento, but this truncated conference must still give full credit to Walter and Hannes because they did all of the work to get it to this stage. This is what Harvey Taylor wishes to do when he as MC launches the conference at noon on Saturday, 24 April 2004.

It is a pity that Walter pulled the plug on this meeting without consulting me first - an email would have reached me because while flying in I did read my daily emails - in airport lounges.

The aim is now to make use of the material that has been prepared for the conference so that it doesn't go to waste.

Walter Mueller has distanced himself from the current re-constituted conference, and that is his right. However, we have a duty to those who entrusted us with their good will of putting on a conference to do just that.

Walter also sent out an email wherein he makes his position clear - and that is fulfilling his part of the duty to inform individuals of his viewpoint - his reasons why he pulled the plug.

Adelaide Institute is still there with some of our speakers, and this means that Harvey's suggestion of calling it an Ernst Zundel Conference is acceptable.

Walter Mueller unilaterally cancelled the conference and so we are rescuing what we can.

Harvey Taylor is the one who is managing matters from here.

What say you to this?

When are you arriving in Sacramento?

Please advise.

Fredrick

**5. Walter Mueller's 'I was right' justification in
unilaterally cancelling the conference after the German
Turn Verein refused to honour its commitment.
22 April 2004**

Dear Fellow Patriot!

Today, I start out with a letter from a College History Professor, who is an expert in revisionism and has done this for years. His letter is exactly the essence of what I am trying to say. Read and weep:

[START]

I have been a college history professor and something of "revisionist" since the 1970s. I have watched (and on a few occasions participated in) the evolving history of Revisionism. I remember the initial breakthrough that came with the publication of Dr. Butz's study, and the significance of such peripheral (non-Holocaust centered) critiques as Alfred Lilienthal's THE ZIONIST CONNECTION. All through the 1980s and '90s Robert Faurisson did much to confirm that many revisionists were indeed serious scholars, deserving of attention. Indeed, in the late 1980s Dr. Ernst Nolte acknowledged as much, and progress was made with the publication of his EUROPAISCHE BÜRGERKRIEG. It was with some hope that I recall

reading the bombshell Leuchter study and Germar Rudolf's pioneering work on the camps. Bradley Smith and others have labored with some success in the academy. Despite financial difficulties at the IHR, it has continued its work. And Dr. Robert Countess and Germar Rudolf have done wonders with THE REVISIONIST. And among the general public a framework for discussing "revisionist" ideas has percolated into the mainstream in the columns of Joseph Sobran and Pat Buchanan, in the works of Paul Findley and others. Perhaps more importantly, Norman Finkelstein's THE HOLOCAUST INDUSTRY and volumes by Novick and others have raised serious questions in "main public square of public opinion" that we had been asking for years. Thus, the signs at the beginning of this millennium were hopeful that, finally, at last, despite the best efforts of the "traditional enemies of free speech" to squelch any opposing views [e.g., as in the Irving trial], an open discussion of the issues surrounding the "Holocaust," World War II, and the role of the Jews might actually occur.

So, I read with disbelief about all the ridiculous name-calling, character assassination, and political jockeying surrounding the abortive International Revisionist Conference. So many rumors, so many egos, so little common sense--enough idiocy and overweening personal pride and self-aggrandizement to go around a thousand times.

No wonder, then, despite the best efforts of those few tireless souls who continue their work, and the many advances that we have made, that we continue to lose and deserve the opprobrium heaped upon our heads. No wonder the other historians---some well known---that I have talked with and who are familiar with our cause, are loath to publicly associate with us.

I have no idea as to personalities---thank God I do not know some of the individuals involved. Those with their own little political agendas just need to go away and "do their own thing." And while I consider myself to be something of a "racial nationalist," I think it important to maintain a distance between the strictly scholarly objectives of "historical revisionism" and the eventual conclusions that might be utilized by various groups and movements. I regret that the conference could not be held. The chaos and examples of destructive individualism are not good augurs. Until we get our priorities (and egos) straight, it will ever be back to the catacombs.

(A former history prof)

[END]

Dr. Fredrick Toben flew into town, after two weeks of silence, and the "Selbstzerfleischung" began. Dr. Toben feels that their new revisionist conference should be renamed to the "Ernst Zundel Conference." However, the white nationalist groups and Mark Weber disagree. They want the credit to go to the IHR.

This would have never happened with me in charge. We had these animals tamed and following the rules.

Speaking of Ernst Zundel, brain midget Bill White and Dr. Fredrick Toben were asking whether or not I was critical of Ernst Zundel. I find it real bothersome that this creep, Bill White, even mentions Ernst Zundel's name. I have been a supporter of Ernst Zundel for many years. I have met him personally and he is one of my heroes. I just received his speech for the

original conference in the mail, and I passed it on to Harvey Taylor.

The whole Zundel thing is a very strange and upsetting thing. Just because Ernst Zundel suffers doesn't mean that I can't be critical about the people around him. Of all the amenities we featured, the Zundel Raffle generated the least interest. Even Germar Rudolf, who I learned to now highly respect, withdrew the offer of 300 free books, once we inquired whether or not we could give them away for a "donation to Ernst Zundel." Not that I think he doesn't like Zundel, but people have a serious concern about the money issue. This is not the first time this came up. For the planned conference, Ernst Zundel wrote a personal note to me and Harvey Taylor that any money collected on his behalf cannot be given to Paul Fromm and was to be collected by Harvey Taylor and sent to Ernst Zundel's attorney by certified check.

In addition, the original conference was dedicated to Ernst Zundel, the Revisionist Resource Guide was named after Ernst Zundel, and a donation box was to be placed to collect money for Ernst Zundel. Don't forget the raffle! Strangely, Ms. Ingrid Rimland distanced herself from the conference, after a small malheur with Dr. Toben, and actively started boycotting the conference.

Today, the organizers of the "new conference" are fighting whether or not Ernst Zundel should be involved in their conference. But here is the height of stupidity: No one wants the Resource Guides, or any of the very valuable raffle items.

Here is the e-mail discussion between Dr. Toben and Mr. Weber, which is quite embarrassing:

[START]

Subj: Re: Our talk / The Saturday Conference

Date: 4/22/2004 3:19:24 AM Pacific Daylight Time

From: info@adelaideinstitute.org

To: weber@ihr.org

CC: htaylor@syix.com, chp@vho.org,

toben@adelaideinstitute.org, thetruthisback@aol.com

Sent from the Internet (Details)

Dear Mark

Contrary to what you are saying below my conversation with Harvey saw things differently, and that our speakers list will become known soon - and that the schedule is not in need of being done quickly.

Since when are you in a hurry, Mark? I have been waited for the topic of your talk since 1 April!

The schedule will fit in those speakers who have made it to the conference - and some are in transit.

Hence the scheduling is not a matter for dispute as you imply - flexibility of time allocated can be arranged on the day because not all speakers need a full hour.

This means that until the Saturday things will be in flux and we have to play it by ear because it would not be fair to those who manage to slip into the country as late as Saturday AM to then exclude them from our lineup of speakers.

As to your invitation for me to be a speaker, Mark. You don't seem to understand that I need not be invited to this conference because it is our conference as well - as well as newcomers who have replaced Walter Mueller's organisation, such as the IHR.

As I stated to you on the phone there is no need to make this a battle of the wills, as you are wishing to do now - we have to rescue and save that which can be saved. There is no need for triumphalism to creep into the proceedings and gloat over a failure by claiming this conference on Saturday is completely separate from the original as planned, and that it is now an IHR conference.

Why are you doing this, Mark?

That you did not know the speakers' arrangement we had with Walter Mueller is a given - and from that unawareness, it appears to me, flowed your urgent desire to now call this conference an IHR conference.

Harvey is still the MC and he is in charge of the speakers' list. This MC position is what unites us for the cause that now prevents us to make this a purely IHR conference. As I said to you, it seems parasitical-cannibalistic to now call the meeting an IHR meeting.

He has agreed that I will be the first speaker.

Oh, Mark, I thought that you would be asleep by now because I am the one jet-lagged. My computer time indicates you sent this email at 3AM, but I may be wrong.

Over to you.

Fredrick

=====

Quoting Mark Weber weber@ihr.org:

Dear Fredrick,

This message is to follow up on our conversation yesterday (Wednesday) afternoon.

I have given further thought to your proposal, and have discussed it with others, including Harvey. Upon further reflection, I think it is important that the Saturday event remain, in name as well as in fact, an IHR conference dedicated to Ernst Zundel. There are several reasons why I think that this is important, and I'd be glad to explain them to you if you wish.

As you'll recall, yesterday I invited you to address the meeting as a scheduled speaker. You did not give a clear response, or perhaps I did not understand it.

Please let me know if you accept our invitation to address the conference. I'd appreciate a response quickly, because today (Thursday), we will be working out the schedule.

Whether you accept or not, Harvey and I agree that the Adelaide Institute (and you) should be given full and proper credit for its role in making it possible for several of the speakers to be in northern California this weekend.

Regards,

Mark

weber@ihr.orgInstitute for Historical Review

[END]

Can you imagine that the original sponsor of the conference, Dr. Toben needs an invitation to be a speaker? What an outrage.

And here is a wonderful example of what would happen to you if I would have turned over the mailing list to the organizer of the "new conference", Jamie Kelso, frontman for the Stormfront.

Also, it is proof that only I tell the truth. Here, Jamie Kelso is speaking on behalf of Mark Weber, Harvey Taylor and you, my friends who were the guests.

For laughing, please get the tissues ready:

[START]

Mr. Walter Mueller and Mr. Hans Huber,

This e-mail is sent to you to put in writing the telephonic and Internet statements communicated to you that you are incurring full financial liability for all damages caused to people who are being deliberately and maliciously misled and defrauded by you into believing that no revisionist conference will be held this Saturday, April 24th in Sacramento.

This e-mail also repeats to you the information that these damages are likely to be very substantial, perhaps as high as \$100,000. This can only be guessed at this point because you have sole control of the e-mail list of ticket buyers who have bought expensive airline tickets, car rentals, hotel reservations, and costly changes in their plans. You have been asked by your co-organizers Harvey Taylor and Mark Weber to send an e-mail to the 350 or so ticket buyers informing them that your 3 e-mails declaring that no event will be held in Sacramento are incorrect and misleading. You have known for 3 days now that the revisionist conference has been relocated and is going forward so that these 350 or so people could have preserved the value and expense incurred by all of their travel and schedule plans by coming as scheduled on Saturday morning to Sacramento. Yet you have given zero cooperation in either sending out such a communication yourself or allowing your own two co-organizers Harvey Taylor and Mark Weber to send out such a desperately needed and urgently time-critical communication.

We now face the certain prospect that many people, perhaps hundreds, who have been intentionally misled and deceived by you will have lost a lot of money, easily \$1,000 or more in individual cases, by failing to use non-refundable tickets, or canceling tickets with a high penalty, or other such costs that you have known for three days now were totally avoidable. You have known that only you had the access to the only e-mail list by which these totally unnecessary damages to hundreds of people to whom you owe a good-faith legal responsibility could be avoided.

This e-mail also memorializes our conversations by phone and by Internet wherein you have been informed that you, Walter Mueller and Hans Huber, are solely responsible for causing the damages that have already been caused and any further damages that will arise in the next few days as more ticket holders and other e-mail list recipients intentionally misled by you incur further utterly unnecessary monetary and other losses and damages.

Also, this e-mail memorializes the communications to you that you can expect to be held liable in court for all such damages as described above and beyond.

This e-mail is being transmitted at 1:45pm Pacific time, April 22nd, 2004. The exact text of this e-mail will be read to you on the phone or to your answering machine immediately following transmission.

Sincerely, Jamie Kelso

Stormfront

[END]

If you still have any doubt that the white supremacist movement hasn't hijacked the new conference, you need to read this again. Imagine, these are the same people who claim to be superior over everyone else.

And now a word from Germar Rudolf that is probably the most sensible one. He also points out that he does not want to be involved with the new conference and its fighting over the name:

[START]

Dear friends and supporters!

Valued customers and subscribers!

Many of you might have followed the ups and downs of the revisionist conference that was planned in Sacramento, CA, for the coming weekend, its planning, its cancellation, and various attempts of its resurrection.

Since 1993, I have been invited to almost all revisionist conferences. Each time I had to carefully weigh the pros and cons, and ever since I came to live in the US, I accepted almost all invitations, no matter who organized the conference. As one of the major publishers in this field, I think it is a must to be present at such conferences. It was not different with the originally planned conference in Sacramento.

I have, however, decided not to attend any substitute conference that is planned to take place this coming weekend. The reason for this are multifold:

a) Most important is my business, which needs every minute of time I can spare. Since its establishing in 1996 until March of this year, Castle Hill Publishers was a home-operated business. The growth of my company - doubling the turnover and number of employees last year - made it necessary to move it to a proper business location, an operation that occupied more time than I thought. All projects are thus delayed, and I need every day to catch up.

b) From email and phone messages to me I learned that there is much disagreement over what the allegedly planned substitute conference should be all about, and I am not interested in getting involved in such arguments.

c) I will not risk losing more money and time by flying out to Sacramento as long as there is a risk that this substitute conference gets cancelled as well.

d) I had many books shipped to Sacramento in order to offer them for sale to the audience, but when I learned of the conference's cancellation, I had all books returned. I will therefore not be able to offer any books.

e) I will try to organize an authentic revisionist conference in Chicago either later this year or beginning of next year. I hope that this way revisionist conferences can finally get into calmer waters in the future.

I asked Walter Mueller, the organizer of the original conference, if he would include my company's new program in a mailout he might make to all original participants, so that everybody could at least be informed what is going on in terms of revisionist publishing activities at my end. However, based on emails I received from Mr. Mueller I assume that this might not happen.

Our website's store will soon be updated, with many more books included in our program, so if you are keen on learning about our new projects, please feel free to go to www.vho.org/store.

Sincerely
Germar Rudolf Castle Hill Publishers
PO Box 257768
Chicago, IL 60625
ph: (773) 769 1121
fax: (413) 778 5749
chp@vho.org
[END]

Let me make a few comments about this hard-working man. I have been highly critical of Germar Rudolf. One of the reasons was, because I forgot that he is a German, and we Germans like everything by the book. However, in all this he's been forthright honest and by the numbers. If everyone we had to deal with would have acted like him, we would have been just fine. I will ask each and everyone on my mailing list if we can forward them to Germar Rudolf's bookstore, so he gets a chance to do business. And this my friends is how you deal with a situation.

Edgar J. Steele, writer and attorney, just informed me that the JDL already knows about the "new conference." And, interestingly, they did not contact the IHR, who claims to be the organizer, but Edgar J. Steele, to tell the JDL where it is located:

[START]

Below is an email I sent this morning in reply to the JDL's latest threat against me. The JDL threat is contained in Bill Maniaci's email, reproduced following my response. I'm taking it very seriously.

A good turnout for the Sacramento conference is crucial. I can't afford to hire bodyguards, but I'll bet I might be able to count on some burly friends to be nearby to serve as deterrents to violence by this madman...at least, I hope so.

-ed

-----Original Message-----

From: Edgar J. Steele [mailto:steele@plainlawtalk.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2004 9:01 AM
To: Maccabee@charter.net; JDL@jdl.org.il
Subject: RE: [Fwd: Your resurrected hate conference.]

Mr. Maniaci -

You claim to be peaceful, then promise to have your "good friend" Mark Wiles with you in Sacramento this weekend to "renew (our) relationship?" The same Mark Wiles from Nevada, the JDL free-range goon squad enforcer and former lead henchman for the late Irv Rubin?

Lessee now, that would be the relationship that entailed Wiles' threatening my family and me with physical harm, of course.

That would also be the relationship which saw him physically assault me in front of the courthouse in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, during the Aryan Nations trial.

The relationship which saw your organization issue a death threat to my little 8-year-old girl, as well as every other member of my family.

Would that include Mr. Wiles' episode of gun waving in front of the home of my client at the time of that trial, too?

Simply because I dared to provide legal representation to the defendants in that trial. At that time, of course, I had yet to start writing or speaking out against terrorists and criminals such as yourself. In a very real sense, your organization created me, you know. Just as you create all anti-Semites.

I recognize that this is just your cute way of threatening me, Mr. Maniaci. Are you also behind the anonymous death threats I have been receiving this week?

For all the good it will do, I will turn your threat over to the FBI and the Sacramento Police Department and demand physical protection from you and your Nazi-esque thugs.

Your word means nothing, Mr. Maniaci. It is the word of a craven coward who threatens little girls. The word of a man terrified the world might learn the truth about himself and the terrorist organization of which he is a member. In short, the word of a bully, a liar and a thief; just one of so many Jewish thieves who have stolen our America and robbed us of our rights, our heritage and our culture.

Interestingly, Israeli Barry Chamish originally had been on the agenda to provide the very dialogue you disingenuously mention, from the point of view of Jewish Supremacists like yourself, but your tactics have prevented his attendance now. His stated intention was to convince all attendees of the incorrectness of our position. Even he is outraged at your bullyboy methods, so reminiscent of the way that Israel deals with the Palestinians.

You seek no dialogue. You seek only to shout down and shut out. When you can't do that, then you and your followers resort to death threats to little girls and brazen physical violence. When that doesn't work, your fellow travelers always have found that a bullet to the base of the skulls of your enemies is most effective, as so often demonstrated by you Jews following the Bolshevik takeover in Russia last century.

Come on down, Mr. Maniaci. You and your fellow travelers may kill our children as you have threatened. You may even kill many of us, as you have done so many times past and in lands distant. But you will never break our spirit. You will never silence us. You will never take our freedom.

Edgar J. Steele

-----Original Message-----

From: Bill Maniaci - Jewish Defense League, Chairman
Maccabee@charter.net
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2004 1:11 AM
To: Edgar Steele
Subject: [Fwd: Your resurrected hate conference.]

Importance: High

Mr. Edgar Steele,
Dear Ed,

I realize that you are one of the preeminent defenders of the First Amendment, and insist that your rights therein not be infringed upon. You are going to enjoy your rights on Saturday. However, by keeping that location a secret, you are essentially denying our free speech rights.

If we don't know where you are, then you have trampled upon the rights that you so vehemently insist that you protect. Is it not then your moral obligation to tell us the location so that we may peacefully counter demonstrate?

You have my word that we will be non-violent. After all, haven't you said repeatedly that the Jews have no guts? Be a good sport, besides, my good friend, Mr. Wiles will be with me and he is anxious to renew your relationship.

Who are you afraid of, a few old and feeble Jews? Give me a break!

Shalom, Bill Maniaci, Jewish Defense League, Chairman

" We sleep safe in our beds because rough men stand ready in the night to visit violence on those who would do us harm. " - George Orwell

NEVER FORGET THE MURDERS OF IRV RUBIN, ZT"L, HY"D, RABBI MEIR KAHANE, ZT"L, HY"D, AND RABBI BINYAMIN KAHANE, ZT"L, HY"D

"Al ta'amod 'al dam re'ekha" (Do not stand idle by your brother's blood!)

ISRAEL OUT OF THE U.N. - THE U.N. OUT OF ISRAEL!!!

KOL YISROEL AREIVIM ZEH LAZEH

ki yad al kes Kah, MILCHAMA HASHEM b'AMALEK, m'dor dor ha-Mossad le-Modiin ule-Tafkidim Meyuhadim Mossad

Vlamalshinin al thi Tikvah !!!!!

Erets Yisrael Le'Am Yisrael - The Land of Israel belongs to the People of Israel

The JDL was founded in 1968 by the late Rabbi Meir Kahane, ZT"L, HY"D

[END]

Maniaci is the same guy that worked with the Turnverein. And last but not least a letter from one of our guests that we recommend as special reading for Jamie Kelso: [START]

We offer you a hearty thank you for all your efforts on behalf of the conference that you worked so hard for.

We who know you never doubted that you did your very best in planning the event. What is tragic is that the Jewish Defense League speaks for the entire Jewish community, according to their letter in which they boasted of trashing your 'freedom of speech' and 'freedom to assemble' by intimidating the Turnverein to cancel your conference. The Hate Laws cover this kind of thing and should be pursued to the fullest extent.

When historians and scholars seek to discuss any period in history to find out the facts and to bring truth to history, they should be applauded. That is what revisionism is all about and furthermore, Revisionism is NOT ILLEGAL. It is covered by the First Amendment, making it perfectly legal to voice, write, publish all new views that are discovered by historians. The U.N. Declaration of Human Rights makes those 'rights' binding on ALL nations. Freedom to do research and to speak of the findings is unlimited. It makes you wonder at the tactics used in this attack on free speech, and if this is to be allowed and the perpetrators are not arrested, then there needs to be some soul-searching by the citizens of Sacramento that they are allowing bullies to run the show. You wonder who will be the next victim of this subtle terrorism against the rights of citizens who are supposed to be protected by our Bill of Rights.

On to bigger and better things, we hope. What is the truth is eternal. Those who deny it will reap the whirlwind someday.

Thank you for not divulging the mailing/reservation list. That was an honorable thing to do.

W/V [END]

Just picked up Paul Fromm and Diane King from the airport, going out for dinner with them and the Odorfers. As we arrived at the motel, Frank Schmidt and his wife had already arrived as well. So, the whole bunch of us got together for a "mini conference." Had wonderful food, wine and conversation. Paul Fromm, whom I have

never met before, was telling us the newest about Ernst Zundel, who has become a battle of the wills in the new conference. Diane King, joined us, a delightful, attractive woman. Rich Odorfer and his wife are incredible knowledgeable. Odorfer is a writer and author of a book that should be at the "new conference," since all the books are with Harvey Taylor. Odorfer's wife, a German-American, is just as involved as her husband. And, of course, veteran revisionist and owner of Heimat Publishers, Frank Schmidt and his wife. The Odorfers will leave tomorrow. I gave Frank Schmidt and his wife as well as Paul Fromm and Diane King the number for Harvey Taylor, so they can check out the "new conference."

Letter from America!

Fredrick Toben - in Sacramento, California

22 April 2004

"Life is trouble, only death is not. To be alive is to take off your belt and look for trouble."

That's what Zorba the Greek said in a moment of despair as his friend asked for the meaning of life. When, together with his friend who had financed a project, the whole structure fell apart, exclaimed "Let's dance" - and they did the now famous Zorba dance.

The above comes to mind as I sit here in Sacramento in a friend's home, reflecting on the full extent of that email news item I received when entering the USA after spending a pleasant night in Brighton, England.

Walter Mueller, the organiser of our conference from the Sacramento end had unilaterally pulled the plug on the conference because the venue managers had cancelled his booking. The details of this will keep a number of individuals busy for a while - blaming the Jews, etc. But there is no need to blame the Jews when we have those who bend to their pressure. The cancelled Revisionist conference in Lebanon comes to mind.

There was also talk of some extremist white nationalists taking over the conference, and Mark Weber - IHR- is, as far as Walter Mueller is concerned, heavily involved in this. Walter Mueller distanced himself from such overt expression of politics and advised his 350-odd individuals who were going to attend the meeting, that it was definitely off.

There was no attempt at finding an alternate venue because Walter Mueller had done everything correctly to this point, i.e. advising the venue officials what he was on about in asking that the conference be held in their hall. He and MC for the conference, Harvey Taylor, had given many media interviews on the matter.

Also, something that was a first for any conference, through a daily email bulletin, Walter Mueller informed in absolute detail on the progress of the conference. Usually conferences are planned by a committee and outsiders do not have a look into how things are developing. For example the settling of the speakers' list is always one of compromise - who accepts and

...continued in Newsletter No 780 ...

Remember this from March 2003?

Australian PM John Howard and Canadian PM Stephen Harper reading the same script for war on Iraq

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qtd7o9x5uN0>

WHO WROTE THEIR SPEECH?
